

**TOWN OF SCHODACK - COUNTY OF RENSSELAER - STATE OF NEW YORK
ZONING BOARD OF APPEAL JUNE 22, 2020**

CALLED TO ORDER BY: CHAIRMAN CALARCO AT: 7:00 P.M.

Public Session - 7 p.m. via livestreaming only <https://townhallstreams.com/towns/schodack>

If you have questions on anything on the agenda

Please email your questions or comments to Nadine.fuda@schodack.org or call

518-477-7938 no later than 6pm on 6/15/20

PRESENT

David Calarco, Chairman

Ed Brewer

Robert Loveridge

Anthony Maier

Lou Spada

Craig Crist, Esq.

Nadine Fuda, Director of Planning and Zoning

Melissa Knights, Assistant to Director

MEMBERS ABSENT

APPROVE MINUTES November 18, 2019

Calarco moved, Spada seconded that the minutes be approved as amended

4 Ayes. 0 Noes

Ayes: Brewer, Calarco, Loveridge, Maier, Spada

Abstain: Loveridge

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Dennis/ Christine McGlauflin published on June 16, 2020

Public hearing open 7:02 p.m.

Public hearing closed 7:11 p.m.

Dennis/ Christine McGlauflin

Z781-20/R-20/177.12-5-23

2 Pinewood Ave.

Proposed - Front Porch/front yard setback

Christine McGlauflin was present via Zoom for this meeting.

Chairman Calarco stated the application was sent to the Rensselaer County Economic Development and Planning via a 239 form for review and after review they determined that this proposal does not have a major impact on county plans and local consideration shall prevail. This was also at the Schodack Planning Board in February and was given a favorable recommendation to the ZBA.

Mrs. McGlaufflin stated they had a cement staircase and that was making water go behind is was ruining the foundation so they took that out and her husband put in 4 steps into the house but they would like to put on a 4x6 porch with a roof over the entry.

On **March 2, 2020** the Planning Board determined this to be a Type II action.

TYPE II ACTION

Shaughnessy Moved, LaVoie second that the **Planning board** refers this application to the Zoning Board.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Zoning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

Calarco moved, Loveridge seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Brewer, Calarco, Loveridge, Maier, Spada

**RESOLUTION/DECISION OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
APPROVAL ON AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION FOR MCGLAUFLIN**

WHEREAS, the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals had received an Area Variance Application for CHRISTINE AND DENNIS MCGLAUFLIN to construct a replacement front porch with to a pre-existing, non-conforming structure, further expanding the nonconformity (front yard setback). Applicant seeks to remove the existing porch steps and build a new 4' x 6' deck with columns and a roof, all to be attached to the house. This will therefore be an improved and safer entry and will serve to improve the appearance of the house and benefit the neighborhood. The property is a corner lot.

APPLICANT/S NAME AND ADDRESS: CHRISTINE AND DENNIS MCGLAUFLIN, 2 Pinewood Avenue, E. Greenbush, NY 12061.

PROPERTY OWNER/S NAME AND ADDRESS: CHRISTINE AND DENNIS MCGLAUFLIN, 2 Pinewood Avenue, E. Greenbush, NY 12061.

PROJECT NUMBER: Z781-20

LOCATION: 2 Pinewood Avenue, E. Greenbush, NY 12061 (property is located within the physical limits of the Town of Schodack).

TAX MAP NO: 177.12.5-23

ZONING DISTRICT: R-20

LOT SIZE: 0.29 acres

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020 a **MOTION** was made by CALARCO, **SECONDED** by LOVERIDGE and approved by a vote of 5 to 0 to grant approval of the Area Variance Application to construct a replacement front porch as the building is a pre-existing nonconforming structure which is a deficient front yard setback of 10 feet. The Code of the Town of Schodack requires such accessory structures to be located at least 50 feet from the front road.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals, after due consideration of said Area Variance Application, and the requirements of the Code of the Town of Schodack and New York State Town Law 267-b, does hereby grant **APPROVAL** of the Area Variance Application hereinabove stated and identified as submitted, noting the benefit to the Applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. Notably:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance.

It is the determination of this Board that no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor will a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variances.

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance.

There is no other way.

3. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

The Board finds that the encroachment of 4 feet further onto the setback is not substantial.

4. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district.

It is the determination that it will not, including for the reasons set forth in #1, above.

5. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

As with most matters that come before this Board, the variances are needed because Applicant desires to build at variance with existing requirements. However, see the discussion in factor 2, above.

PLEASE NOTE, the Zoning Board of Appeals has no authority to alter or determine the ownership of property and that the decision of the Board herein is not a determination of the underlying ownership of the subject property/ies.

See file for signed variance

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Stacy Falasco published on June 16, 2020

public hearing open 7:11 p.m.

public hearing closed 7:27 p.m.

Stacy Falasco

Z782-20/RA/218.-4-1

1003 River Rd

Proposed- front porch

Stacy Falasco was present via Zoom for this meeting.

Ms. Falasco stated she is looking to put up a single car garage with a car port on an existing slab at the end of her driveway.

Chairman Calarco stated the garage will be at the house setback and there is really no other place to place it due to the steep slope in the property in the back.

There were no other questions or comments

Chairman Calarco stated the application was sent to the Rensselaer County Economic Development and Planning via a 239 form for review and after review they determined that this proposal does not have a major impact on county plans and local consideration shall prevail. This was also at the Schodack Planning Board in February and was given a favorable recommendation to the ZBA.

PLANNING BOARD'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ZBA on 6-15-20

Aubin moved, LaVoie seconded a **"POSITIVE"** recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

On June 15, 2020 the Planning Board determined this to be a Type 2 action, and voted on a positive recommendation to the ZBA

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Planning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

LaVoie moved, Aubin seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Zoning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

Calarco moved, Loveridge seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Brewer, Calarco, Loveridge, Maier, Spada

RESOLUTION/DECISION OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
APPROVAL ON AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION FOR STACY FALASCO

WHEREAS, the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals had received an Area Variance Application from STACY FALASCO to construct a garage and carport with a deficient front setback. Applicant seeks to construct a garage and carport on the existing driveway of the property. The front of the accessory structure would be in alignment with the existing front of the pre-existing non-conforming house.

APPLICANT/S NAME AND ADDRESS: STACY FALASCO, 1003 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

PROPERTY OWNER/S NAME AND ADDRESS: STACY FALASCO, 1003 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

PROJECT NAME: Z782-20

LOCATION: 1003 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

TAX MAP NO: 218.-4-1

ZONING DISTRICT: RA **LOT SIZE:** 125' x 100'

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020 a **MOTION** was made by BREWER, **SECONDED** by SPADA and approved by a vote of 5 to 0 to grant approval of the Area Variance Application to construct a garage and carport with a deficient front yard setback of 15 feet from the road. The Code of the Town of Schodack requires such accessory structures to be located at least 50 feet from the front setback.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals, after due consideration of said Area Variance Application, and the requirements of the Code of the Town of Schodack and New York State Town Law 267-b, does hereby grant **APPROVAL** of the Area Variance Application hereinabove stated and identified as submitted, noting the benefit to the Applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. Notably:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance.

It is the determination of this Board that no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor will a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variances.

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance.

Behind the site of the proposed pad, the property slopes and there are railroad tracks adjoining the property. If the proposed use were able to be located further back, which it may not be able to be because of the foregoing locational constraints.

3. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

The Board finds that the 35 ft. deficiency is substantial.

4. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district.

It is the determination that it will not, including for the reasons set forth in #1, above. Again, the proposed accessory structure will align with the house.

5. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

As with most matters that come before this Board, the variances are needed because Applicant desires to build at variance with existing requirements. However, see the discussion in factor 2, above.

CONDITION OF APPROVAL: The construction shall be of like construction to the house.

PLEASE NOTE, the Zoning Board of Appeals has no authority to alter or determine the ownership of property and that the decision of the Board herein is not a determination of the underlying ownership of the subject property/ies.

See file for signed variance

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Chris McCauley published on June 16, 2020

public hearing open 8:03 p.m.

public hearing closed 8:20 p.m.

Chris McCauley

Z783-20/RA/209.-11-11

1313 South Schodack Road

Proposed - Addition and Porch/

Mr. McCauley stated they are looking to add

On June 15, 2020 the Planning Board determined this to be a Type 2 action, and voted on a positive recommendation to the ZBA

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Planning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

LaVoie moved, Aubin seconded.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Zoning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

Calarco moved, Maier seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Brewer, Calarco, Loveridge, Maier, Spada

RESOLUTION/DECISION OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
APPROVAL ON AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION FOR MCCAULEY

WHEREAS, the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals had received an Area Variance Application for CHRIS MCCAULEY to construct an addition and wrap-around porch which will serve to increase the deficient front setback. The structure is a pre-existing, non-conforming structure and the addition of the wrap-around porch will increase further into the setback. The application was amended at the June 22, 2020 meeting.

APPLICANT/S NAME AND ADDRESS: CHRIS MCCAULEY, 1313 South Schodack Road, Castleton, NY 12033.

PROPERTY OWNER/S NAME AND ADDRESS: CHRIS MCCAULEY, 1313 South Schodack Road, Castleton, NY 12033.

PROJECT NUMBER: Z783-20

LOCATION: 1313 South Schodack Road, Castleton, NY 12033.

TAX MAP NO: 209.-11-11

ZONING DISTRICT: RA **LOT SIZE:** 0.97 acres

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020 a **MOTION** was made by CALARCO, **SECONDED** by BREWER and approved by a vote of 5 to 0 to grant approval of the amended Area Variance

Application to construct a new addition on the back of the house along with a wrap-around porch.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals, after due consideration of said Area Variance Application, and the requirements of the Code of the Town of Schodack and New York State Town Law 267-b, does hereby grant APPROVAL of the Area Variance Application hereinabove stated and identified as submitted, noting the benefit to the Applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. Notably:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance.

It is the determination of this Board that no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor will a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variances.

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance.

There is no other way other than not building it. It is noted that the Applicant agreed to amend the application to seek the minimal variance needed

3. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

The Board finds that the deficiency is substantial in that it would increase the existing encroachment into the setback.

4. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district.

It is the determination that it will not, including for the reasons set forth in #1, above.

5. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

As with most matters that come before this Board, the variances are needed because Applicant desires to build at variance with existing requirements. However, see the discussion in factor 2, above.

CONDITION: The addition and the new proposed wrap-around deck will be as proposed at the June 22, 2020 meeting, such that on the Van Hoesen Road side the deck will a maximum of seven feet deep and the seven feet can extend no more than four feet past the entrance door on that side. At that point, the deck will angle to the existing four-foot deck already on the house.

PLEASE NOTE, the Zoning Board of Appeals has no authority to alter or determine the ownership of property and that the decision of the Board herein is not a determination of the underlying ownership of the subject property/ies.

See file for signed variance

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Lee Wetherby published on June 16, 2020

public hearing open 7:28 p.m.

public hearing closed 8:03 p.m.

Lee Wetherby
648 River Road

Z784-20/RA/218.3-3-16

Proposed- over on 10% new Garage

Mr. Weatherby was present for this meeting via Zoom.

Mr. Weatherby stated he wanted to put a 24x24 garage on is property on a slab 5 to 6 feet from his fence line. He also plans on removing the shed adding 80 sq. feet to his coverage.

There was discussion on the 10% coverage and the fact the property is 538 sq. ft. over what is allowed, the applicant was asked about the option of a smaller garage, which the Mr. Weatherby was not in favor of. But after the numbers were reworked the applicant agreed to change the garage dimensions to 20x24.

On June 15, 2020 the Planning Board determined this to be a Type 2 action, and voted on a positive recommendation to the ZBA

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Planning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

LaVoie moved, Aubin seconded.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

RESOLUTION/DECISION OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
APPROVAL ON AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION FOR WETHERBY

WHEREAS, the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals had received an Area Variance Application for LEE A. WETHERBY to construct a 24' x 24' garage in excess of maximum structure coverage. The Application was amended by Applicant at the June 22, 2020 meeting seeking to construct a 20' x 24' garage. The Code of the Town of Schodack requires no more than 10% of structure coverage in said zone.

APPLICANT/S NAME AND ADDRESS: LEE A. WETHERBY, 648 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

PROPERTY OWNER/S NAME AND ADDRESS: LEE A. WETHERBY, 648 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

PROJECT NUMBER: Z784-20

LOCATION: 648 River Road, Schodack Landing, NY 12156.

TAX MAP NO: 218.3-3-16

ZONING DISTRICT: RA **LOT SIZE:** 0.3 acres

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020 a **MOTION** was made by CALARCO, **SECONDED** by LOVERIDGE and approved by a vote of 5 to 0 to grant approval of the Area Variance Application to construct a 20' x 24' garage and concrete slab in excess of maximum structure coverage, increasing said nonconformance by an additional 480 square feet, rendering the property further in violation of the Zoning Schedules of Area and Bulk Requirements, Part 1.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals, after due consideration of said Area Variance Application, and the requirements of the Code of the Town of Schodack and New York State Town Law 267-b, does hereby grant **APPROVAL** of the Area Variance Application hereinabove stated and identified as submitted, noting the benefit to the Applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. Notably:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance.

It is the determination of this Board that no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor will a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variances.

The shed has been removed.

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance.

The lot currently exceeds lot coverage requirements. The only way would be to build a smaller garage.

3. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

The Board finds that the 480 sq. ft. increase is substantial. It is noted that the amount is under 14%, an amount that has not been exceeded by this Board previously.

4. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district.

It is the determination that it will not, including for the reasons set forth in #1, above.

5. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

As with most matters that come before this Board, the variances are needed because Applicant desires to build at variance with existing requirements. However, see the discussion in factor 2, above.

CONDITION OF APPROVAL: THE WELL SHALL BE CLOSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

PLEASE NOTE, the Zoning Board of Appeals has no authority to alter or determine the ownership of property and that the decision of the Board herein is not a determination of the underlying ownership of the subject property/ies.

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Keith Zimmermann published on June 16, 2020

public hearing open 8:39 p.m.

public hearing closed 8:41 p.m.

Keith Zimmermann

Z785-20/R-20/188.2-3-22

13 East Dr.

Proposed -new shed over on 10%

Mr. Zimmerman was present for this meeting via Zoom.

Mr. Zimmerman stated he is looking to install a new 12'x18' shed he will also be removing an existing old shed.

On June 15, 2020 the Planning Board determined this to be a Type 2 action, and voted on a positive recommendation to the ZBA

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Planning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

LaVoie moved, Aubin seconded.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Zoning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

Brewer moved; Maier seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Brewer, Calarco, Loveridge, Maier, Spada

RESOLUTION/DECISION OF ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
APPROVAL ON AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION FOR KEITH ZIMMERMAN

WHEREAS, the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals had received an Area Variance Application for KEITH ZIMMERMAN to construct a shed in excess of maximum structure coverage. Applicant seeks to place a 12' x 18' shed as depicted in the rendering provided to this Board.

APPLICANT/S NAME AND ADDRESS: KEITH ZIMMERMAN, 13 East Drive, Castleton, NY 12033.

PROPERTY OWNER/S NAME AND ADDRESS: KEITH ZIMMERMAN, 13 East Drive, Castleton, NY 12033.

PROJECT NUMBER: Z785-20

LOCATION: 13 East Drive, Schodack, NY 12033.

TAX MAP NO: 188.2-3-22

ZONING DISTRICT: R-20

LOT SIZE: 0.57 acres

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2020 a **MOTION** was made by BREWER, **SECONDED** by MAIER and approved by a vote of 5 to 0 to grant approval of the Area Variance Application to construct a 12' x 18' shed in excess of maximum structure coverage by 216 square feet, in violation of the Zoning Schedules of Area and Bulk Requirements, Part 1. The Code of the Town of Schodack requires no more than 10% of structure coverage in said zone. As such, this property as proposed exceeds the structure coverage maximum by 216 square feet.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town of Schodack Zoning Board of Appeals, after due consideration of said Area Variance Application, and the requirements of the Code of the Town of Schodack and New York State Town Law 267-b, does hereby grant **APPROVAL** of the Area Variance Application hereinabove stated and identified as submitted, noting the benefit to the Applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. Notably:

1. Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance.

It is the determination of this Board that no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood nor will a detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variances.

2. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance.

The Board finds that there is no other way to include a shed on the property without the subject variance.

3. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

The Board finds that the 216 sq. ft. excess is not substantial. The lot coverage will be 10.8%, just barely over the 10% maximum.

4. Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental condition in the neighborhood or district.

It is the determination that it will not, including for the reasons set forth in #1, above.

5. Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

As with most matters that come before this Board, the variances are needed because Applicant desires to build at variance with existing requirements. However, see the discussion in factor 2, above.

CONDITION OF APPROVAL: The existing shed is to be removed.

PLEASE NOTE, the Zoning Board of Appeals has no authority to alter or determine the ownership of property and that the decision of the Board herein is not a determination of the underlying ownership of the subject property/ies.

See file for signed variance

Nadine Fuda, Director, read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record on the following variance application(s):

Julia Gensicki published on June 16, 2020

Public hearing open 8:42 p.m.

Public hearing closed 9:02 p.m.

Julia Gensicki

Z786-20/R20/189.1-4-8

2304 north old post Rd

Proposed - Shed over on 10%

Ms. Gensicki was present via zoom for this meeting

Ms. Gensicki stated she wants to put in a 10'x20' shed in her back yard.

There was a discussion on the number of structures currently on the property and that she is over the 10% coverage allowed for her lot.

After going over everything a decision was made by the applicant to hold off on this until she can figure what it is she would like to do.

On June 15, 2020 the Planning Board determined this to be a Type 2 action, and voted on a positive recommendation to the ZBA

TYPE II ACTION

Be it resolved that the Planning Board hereby classifies the proposed action as a Type II Action under SEQRA.

LaVoie moved, Aubin seconded.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Adjourn to next meeting.

Calarco moves, Loveridge seconded to adjourn this application to the July 13, 2020 meeting.

Brewer moved; Maier seconded.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

ADJOURN

Brewer moved, Spada seconded that the meeting be adjourned. There being no objections, Chairman Calarco adjourned the meeting at 9:08 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Nadine Fuda

Director of Planning & Zoning