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7:35 P.M. - PUBLIC FORUM:
PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Item number 9 is Skannell Properties. As a reminder, they were going to make a presentation and then the Public Hearing remains open, but I will start to call the public again for comment.

Tim Elam.
MR. ELAM: Thank you, Madam Chairman, and board members. I am Tim Elam with Skannell Properties. We've changed the format tonight, just a little bit, and give a Power Point presentation, with the hopes everyone can see it.

We are introducing a couple new items tonight; but otherwise, it's a recap of the project; and at the end of it, we got some $Q$ and $A$, questions we have written, to address comment on the previous meetings, and then we can stop and you can open to questions from the audience.

So, we are going to give a little bit of a project overview for who hasn't been at all the meetings to date. We are going to do a tenant introduction, and call the tenant up
to speak.
We're going to give a little overview of the fiscal impacts of the project. We have done a fiscal impact study on the project now. We are going to talk about the aquifer protection and storm water management, and then we are going to hit on the traffic a little bit; and like I said, we had ten questions at the end that we are going to address.

So, here is the site, right off Route 9, between the interstate and Route 9. (Indicating).

So, the project is $1,015,740$ square feet. It's got 30,500 square feet of office. 1,077 auto parking. (Indicating). We are about 545 feet from the north property line. On the south side of the building, we have loading docks, 95 loading docks. We are about 320 feet off the property line. It's designed for 300 trailer parks, and we are extending water and sewer to the site and natural gas to the site as well. (Indicating).

So, here is the site plan. This
hasn't changed for a while now, at least a month. (Indicating).

A couple things we wanted to hit on on the site plan was the berm on the north side. We have a berm design currently at 18 feet. We have rows of evergreens and maple trees and such up there. We will, kind of, rotate those, so during winter months, there is still an evergreen row. On our landscape plans, those are shown as eight feet. We have a total of 26 feet screening the various areas on the north side of the property. (Indicating).

The south side of the property, we do have a screen wall, which basically runs from the southeast corner, along the whole property to the southwest corner.

We will talk about the storm water design in a little bit; but, as you can see, we have three storm water basins throughout the site. Those are designed with a four-bay sedimentation basin and a post bay, which is an infiltration basin. Steve will get up and talk about that a little bit more in a second. (Indicating) .

The north curb cut is for automobiles only, and the south curb cut is for trucks only. (Indicating).

So, we do have a couple new three-dimensional prospectives from various points on the property. Hopefully, you can see the numbers 1 through 12 on the north side, and then on the south side, we've got 13, 14, 16 -- so we have tried to provide various aerial, but three-dimensional imagery at the site. We did not put them all in here because they're such huge files that made it tough on the Power Point. (Indicating).

So, this is from the northeast corner of the site. This is the rendering that we have shown for quite some time, but it just captures the northeast corner of the building and shows the auto parking lot. (Indicating).

So, this is from Route 9 at the automobile entrance. This is from the southeast corner of the site. There, you can see the truck entrance at the guard shack. (Indicating).

Here is some new images that we have put together. So, this image is from the
northwest corner of the site. (Indicating).
I am just going to hop -- takes
forever to go between slides but -- so, I will just go through these; but this was image 2 , where the dot was 2 on the northwest corner, looking through one of the backyards. (Indicating).

This is image 3. This is existing trees. You can see in the distance some new landscaping between the residential backyards and the building. (Indicating).

This goes a little bit further east along the backyards. Here, you can see some berming, some articulational landscaping, along with some evergreen trees in the background. (Indicating).

And this is from the southeast corner of the site. As I stated, we are going to have an 18-foot screen wall. That screen wall can be made with a bunch of different materials, but we are proposing a concrete wall that will be painted, and we will put landscaping in front of it, which, over time, will grow and mask the wall. (Indicating).

So, there's been questions on, you
know, what these buildings look like after the builds. Here is two representative buildings that we have built in other markets within the last six months. These were final photos. (Indicating).

I am going to call Steve up to talk about the storm water management facilities and aquifer protection.

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Steve, can you just spell your name?

MR. BOISVERT: Sure. Good evening. Steve, with McFarland-Johnson. It's $B-o-i-s-v-e-r-t$.

As we have previously stated on previous Planning Board meetings, our project, from a storm water protection standpoint, has been designed to exceed New York State DEC Storm Water Pollution Prevention Design Manual -- is that we are providing three means to purify storm water runoff. The first means is by DEC requirement, and that is the sedimentation basin that Tim mentioned, that sits just upstream of the infiltration basin. (Indicating).

Upstream of the sedimentation basin is
a oil-water separator, which is required by the Town Aquifer Protection Plan. (Indicating).

And then, even upstream from the oil-water separator, we are introducing a third means of purifying, cleansing storm water runoff, by introducing a sump and a hood over the exterior -- the discharge side of the pipe, out the catch basins and manholes. So, there's three means of purifying storm water runoff prior to it being infiltrated into the ground. (Indicating).

One final point to mention with regard to protection of the aquifer. There will be no salt storage facility on this property.

MR. ELAM: Just an update on traffic. We have met with New York State DOT a few times. We are going to have another meeting here with them in the next week or so.

There's been some discussion about various areas of concern. Route 150, there was a recent accident, so we have spoke to DOT about this, and they are pulling the accident history of that accident.

They are also pulling the accident
history of Route 9 and 20. They're looking at some cars that pull out of the dinner at, I guess you would say, inconvenient times.

They're also doing and looking at a speed study from Route 150, down Route 9 through this section. And I guess the goal with all of this is to figure out if there's any additional improvements that could be done concurrent with the projects that would mitigate potential accidents in these locations. (Indicating).

We are also working with the DOT to get approval to clear trees along Route 9 at Richwood Drive.

And then also, looking at some other potential options in the area, these have only been proposed to the DOT recently, but they will be discussed at our next meeting with them. Those items could involve reduction of the speed limit from Route 9 and 20 to the project entrance -- if that was acceptable to the Town and the DOT. (Indicating).

Potentially, other things, like a loop sensor at Richwood Drive and Route 9, to a flashing sign, that says, Traffic Approaching.

Or, potentially, a speed limit sign with the flashing speed limit under it, so as people come through, heading southbound on Route 9 past the diner, and pick up speed right there, it could show them their speed. So, we are looking at a couple items to help reduce traffic, potential traffic accidents at that interchange. (Indicating).

We have continued to work with DOT to refine the design on our traffic light at the employee entrance. This improvement, too, will help reduce speeds along the corridor right here, and create some gaps in traffic. (Indicating).

We have also agreed to put in a sidewalk, along Route 9, on our project frontage. (Indicating).

And we have done an updated traffic study, which has been submitted to the Town and to the DOT. The main changes in the updated traffic study was to look at 70 percent of our truck traffic going south and 30 percent going north.

We also looked at some site distances that were requested, some additional
information that's been provided as well. So, that's an overview of traffic and where we're at, and what we are looking at right now, but we want to provide those updates.

So, at this point in time, I am going to introduce Eric Murray. He is with our prospective tenant and the tenant is not committed to the project yet. It's all contingent upon approvals from the Town; but Eric.

MR. MURRAY: Thank you, Tim.
Good evening, Madam Chair. Eric
Murray, M-u-r-r-a-y.
Madam Chairwoman, members of the Planning Board, residents from the community. My name is Eric Murray. I represent Amazon. I am here tonight --
(APPLAUSE.)
Thank you very much. It's a pleasure to be here. I am actually thrilled to be back in the capital region. I have actually spent over a quarter of my life here in the capital region, so $I$ know it well; and was thrilled to come back and talk about this opportunity that Amazon has been working with Skannell

Properties.
I will try to answer as many questions that have been posed to me through Tim and the team, and happy to talk through some others if there are further questions.

So, a little bit about the project. The project is, as Tim has mentioned before, would have at least 800 full time employees at the facility. Those positions, again, full-time, would have full benefits on day one. They're egalitarian benefits, the same benefits that everyone throughout the company receives as a full time employee. That's medical, dental, vision, 401k, access to generous maternity leave; and they all start on day one of hiring.

If the project does go forward, we will look to create a majority of those jobs beginning on day one, which would happen sometime during $Q$-3 of 2019 , once the project is completed.

We work with local work force development boards, to make sure that we find the best and brightest in the community to satisfy our hiring needs.

The project itself is what's known as a traditional non-sort. That facility will handle bulkier items, so things like TVs, kayaks, canoes, things that are large, from that prospective. Those orders, from customers, will be filled throughout the US.

As far as hiring goes, we certainly have -- we promote diversity inclusion. We have a strong propensity to look for veteran hiring as well. We made a commitment as a company to hire over 25,000 veterans over the next five years -- a commitment, actually, we made back in 2016.

As far as other benefits goes, as an employee, we have a program known as Career Choice. That program is, actually, a peculiar program to Amazon, where we actually prepay 95 percent of tuition, up to $\$ 3,000$ a year, for a period of up to four years, for $\$ 12,000$, for associates of ours who completed one year of commitment to us through work, to actually pursue other fields that they're interested in -- an associates or other type of vocational certificates. So, we would work with the local community college, say Hudson

Valley Community College, or others, to find, understand what the in-demand skills are for either advanced manufacturing, CDL, nursing, what have you, so that we can make sure that our associates have upward mobility.

As Tim is going to get into in terms of the number of jobs and the over investment, we are going to have a significant number of construction jobs that are also generated as result of this project. And a significant amount of investment from Skannell Properties.

As far as community engagement, while we haven't identified anything specific at this time -- again, if the project does go forward, Amazon is very careful to work with the community partners to identify things that are important to the community, things that are important to Amazon; and certainly advocate and provide philanthropic and volunteer activities throughout the tenure. This will be a long-term commitment for Amazon, and long-term commitment for Scannell, and we look forward to being a partner in the community.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. ELAM: Thank you, Eric. I'm glad everyone now knows the prospective tenant.

Physical impacts. What does this
project do for Schodack?
So, we put together a fiscal impact study commissioned by Camoin and Associates, based out of the greater Albany area. We did this above and beyond what's required under the current environmental studies; but we thought -- there has been a lot of rumors floating around, what it's going to bring -so, this is a study that we think provides a lot of good data. That report has been submitted to the Town; and it's got a lot of data in it that we've just summarized here.

So, like Eric said, this is going to bring about 800 new full time job positions, about $\$ 22$ million in annual wages. When you do a fiscal impact study, it captures what should be created based upon that -- all those annual wages, and what will be spent in Town when people are buying gas or lunch and so on. That's 49 additional, indirect jobs they're called, with a targeted annual wage rate of
2.4 million. (Indicating).

The total investment is going to be, plus or minus, a hundred million dollars. That should create approximately 486 construction jobs during construction; about 37 and a half million dollars of labor. (Indicating).

And what is it going to do long term
for the community? The real estate taxes currently on the property, are less than \$15,000 annually. There's a projected assessed value of 55 million dollars, so about \$55 a square foot. The proposed pilot, which is going through Rensselaer County IDA right now, in year one, would be $\$ 1,046,757$.

So, if you look at the breakdown of this a little bit, we have stated here the average over the 20 -year period. So, the Town, average annual, would get $\$ 206,000$, water district, $\$ 21,000$ sewer district, \$13,000; ambulance, \$9,000; fire district, \$45,000; and the East Greenbush School District, 1.25 million. (Indicating).

So, this is the impact after the
additional fees are calculated, or the
additional costs to operate the Town.
So, for example, the additional amount of calls that are figured, you know, provided by the ambulance service and so on. So, the total economic impact, over 20 years, is 31 million dollars. (Indicating).

So, some updates from the last public hearing. I am going to call Steve up here to explain some of this.

MR. BOISVERT: It might be, actually, easier just to expand upon the photo simulations that Tim mentioned earlier, so, if you just bear with us, we will turn the lights back on.

The changes that occurred were primarily relating to providing additional screening that was suggested and requested by the board. And the initial additional screening was added along the west property line. We added some landscaping between the fire access road and the building to break up the view from Route 90. This is in addition to the vegetation that we are going to retain that's on the property. (Indicating).

As Tim mentioned, we also extended the
berm, and added additional landscaping at the north property line that we would be very pleased to review with those neighbors the exact location of the plantings.

So, what we did in preparation for that, is prepared updated photo simulations. If you recall at our previous meeting, we -and Tim mentioned, we had done a photo summation of a complete semi-circle around the property at 16 different locations. What we presented at the last meeting was the views from camera location number 1, 2, 3. (Indicating).

And additional screening and landscaping was requested, so we have updated the landscaping plan, and I have updated photo simulations to show you what we did to improve and provide additional screenings. So, what I will do, is, what is on the easel is the new additional landscape screening. (Indicating).

What I am going to have to do is, Tim, if you wouldn't mind just showing the board the previous photo simulation so you can see the difference with the additional berm.
(Indicating).
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Can you show the audience?

MR. BOISVERT: I was going to do it for you first, and then turn, but either way.

So, over to my left is the previous version of the photo simulation, on the right is the demonstration of the existing landscaping that we will be adding; and if you recall, Location 1 is the farthest west. (Indicating).

Location 2, just a little bit east. Again, you can see the previous photo simulation showed quite a bit of the project. The additional berm and additional landscaping screens the project quiet well. (Indicating).

I should note that the evergreens and the plantings that we are showing are what it will look like from day one, they're eight-foot high evergreens. Over time, within five to ten years, you can imagine, they will grow and fill in the gaps, so it will be a solid screen. (Indicating).

Camera Location 3, again, additional
plantings are planted within the existing vegetation in the foreground, with the vegetation that was previously proposed remaining intact. (Indicating).

Again, continuing east, along the north property line, additional plantings in the foreground. And as you can see, the previous proposed photo simulation and the new location. (Indicating).

If you recall, we had, at certain locations the cameraman stood and shot the camera angle in one direction, and then simply turned the camera lens a little bit to the left, so we have same location, but $A$ and $B$. This is location 4-B. (Indicating).

Again, demonstrating the additional landscaping that is being planted within the existing vegetation line that we are retaining. (Indicating).

So, this is now along the south property line, location 13-B. Previously, we had no proposed landscape screening of the noise wall. We now are proposing evergreen plantings to screen the wall. (Indicating).

Then, continuing along the south
property line west, further off Route 9, you can see we added quite a bit of landscaping to screen the noise wall. (Indicating).

And one last photo-sim
demonstrating -- we added additional plantings again. This is continuing at the same location, 14, but looking a little bit west. So, we are planning on screening the entire south property line adjacent to the noise wall. (Indicating).

So, that really caps the changes that were made. I do want to emphasize that we did address the Laberge Group's comment letter of May 18th, and just on technical design change on the sanitary sewer, we eliminated the need for a pump station, so everything on site is gravity out to Route 9.

And that is it the extent of the changes that have occurred since our last meeting.

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Okay.
Did you say you were going to do $Q$ and
A?
MR. ELAM: Well, we made a top ten
question list that we have written down from
all the meetings to date.
Do you want us to go through that, or should we open it up?

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Well, you have a top ten question list, and you have answers to those?

MR. ELAM: We do.
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Let's go through it.

MR. ELAM: All right.
Number one: How will the project be designed, constructed and operated to ensure that adjacent potable water wells and the aquifer will not be affected? Steve.

MR. BOISVERT: Thank you.
As previously mentioned, we went above and beyond the New York State DEC Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan requirements by introducing three means to purify storm water runoff. We have an oil-water separator prior to the sedimentation basin, and at each catch basin, there's a sump and a hood. (Indicating).

In addition, we are committing not to store any salt on the property. That really
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summarizes the protection of the aquifer.
MR. ELAM: Second question, is: Will property values around the project decline, and will that result in a loss of taxes for the Town?

I have been in the real estate development business for 14 years, and I have seen, time and time again, where projects like this generate economic activity and growth in a community, and property values will rise.

This is a little lengthy, but a community member, Ed Brewer, wrote a letter that I am going to read: My name is a Ed Brewer and I have been a resident of Schodack for 65 years. During my time in the community, I've watched growth and development stagnate along the commercial corridors. We have seen countless businesses close, and very few new businesses come into our community.

My career has been in residential real estate sales for 42 years in and around the Schodack area. I don't know enough to comment on the report by Scannell, but I am certainly knowledgeable enough to comment on the real estate aspects.

After listening to the Scannell presentation, I heard a recuring theme related to the home sale values in the neighboring community. I wanted to point out to the board that the residential real estate market is the strongest it's been in almost a decade.

The homes that have come on the market in the Richwood Drive neighborhood have gone under contract very quickly. Below is a summary of the most recent residential transactions. It states three homes here that went under contract at or well above the assessed value. Here is one that's come on the market at $\$ 228,000$, and have had multiple offers on it. This home was assessed at \$193, 800 .

I think the above information provides concrete evidence that the adjacent communities will not experience a devaluation of their home values, and the project may actually create an increase in demand for residential properties in the region. I look forward to the Planning Board's favorable approval of this project.

Also, some partners and I purchased a

13-plus acre commercial property on the corner of Route 9 and 20, and Route 150, over 15 years ago. Our thoughts were that it would be a good investment for a strip mall and retail stores and shops, as it was centrally located in our Town, and the growth along the I-90 corridor would continue up from Exit 9 and 10 to Exit 11, where our property is located. Here we are, 15 years later, and over $\$ 120,000$ in taxes paid, with no interest in our property, despite several price reductions. To say we are frustrated would be an understatement.

I have heard that this project would bring the natural gas line past our property, and we would finally have access to public water, sewer and natural gas -- not easy access, mind you, as water and sewer will still have to be brought across Route 9 and 20; but at least now it's theoretically available. Hopefully, the approval of this project will lead to the sale of our property, as my partners and I are all tired of being commercial property owners in Schodack.

I strongly support this project, and
ask the Town Board to stay the course and get this distribution center approved, and in Schodack.

Sincerely, Edward Brewer. Mr. Brewer is in the crowd. Thanks for writing the letter.

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Sir, you are going
to have to give us the letter.
MR. ELAM: The next question is: Does the Town have sufficient capacity, through its agreement with the Town of East Greenbush, to ensure that sufficient sewage treatment capacity exists for the project and future development?

We have studied this. The Town has 45,000 gallons reserved with East Greenbush. They are currently using about 25,000. So, there's an excess, today, of 20,000 gallons, and this proposed project would take 6,000 gallons; so, 30 percent, leaving you 70 percent remaining. (Indicating).

How has noise from the project been evaluated, especially in the evening and weekends; and what mitigations are being proposed?

As you know, we have conducted a
thorough acoustical study of the property. We have shown that the properties to the north are not affected by noise. The properties to the south were affected by noise; so, our mitigation measure was to put up an 18-foot sound wall to bring the sound levels down to where they currently are today. (Indicating).

So, has noise from construction and backup beepers during operations been addressed?

Yes, we have addressed both. During construction, we have limited the hours from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. We have also agreed to, shortly after mass grading is complete, to start putting up the sound wall immediately to try to mitigate sound to the south during construction. (Indicating).

And then we have talked about backup beepers on the yard jockey, and we're installing shushers -- a very technical term, shusher -- which is a little lower audible level; so, when they are moving trailers around in the yard, the noise will be quieter than the typical backup beeper. (Indicating).

Will the proposed traffic signal in the entrances effectively block left turns on Richwood Drive?

The answer to that is no. We have looked at the levels of service that exist today after our construction; and that turn will remain as it is today. It is about an 11 second wait to take a left. The traffic signal will effectively create gaps in traffic to allow that turning movement; plus, we are going to do -- we are pursuing additional improvements with DOT to make that exit even better than it is today. (Indicating).

Has site distances been adequately evaluated?

The answer to that question is yes as well. We've looked at them in numerous locations, did some follow-up studies for DOT, and that's been resubmitted to the Town and to the DOT, but all of our site distances are much greater than what's required on a 55 mile-an-hour thoroughfare. (Indicating).

What fire suppression system is being provided in the sales distribution center?

It's called an ESFR system. The
system is designed to contain and suppress the fire so it's used in a lot of large scare warehouses across the U.S. (Indicating).

How will visual impacts of the project be addressed to not be detrimental to the surrounding residential neighborhoods and the community?

You know, we went through all those before and after shots of the additional landscaping; also installed the berm north of the parking lot, in between the parking lot and the neighborhood, to adequately screen and try to preserve, the best we could, the feel from visual impacts off the interstate, Route 9, and from the neighborhoods on both the north and the south side. (Indicating).

And then: Was isn't an EIS required?
So, there's three slides on this. The $S E Q R$ regulations do not require the preparation of an EIS for any particular project; rather, the regulations state that on any Type I action, carries with it the presumption that is likely to have a significant adverse impact on the environment, and may require an EIS. (Indicating).

For all actions, however, it is the lead agency, i.e., the Town Planning Board in this case, that has the responsibility to make a determination of significance. (Indicating).

By comparing the impacts which may be reasonably expected to result from the project action, with the criteria listed in Section 617-7 (c) of this part. (Indicating).

The full EAR, and all the reports submitted by the Applicant comprising over 700 pages of technical information, is what is being reviewed by the Town's designated engineers, Laberge Engineers, as an independent third party advising the Planning Board, and by the Planning Board members. (Indicating).

It is not accurate to say that an EIS is required for this project. The question is whether the project has been designed in such a way to mitigate any potential adverse environmental impacts below what the lead agency considers to be significant. (Indicating).

This determination is made on a case
by case basis by the lead agency. For the majority of actions, lead agencies have issued a negative declaration of environmental significance for a Type I action. (Indicating).

In fact, such a determination was made in the case of a one million square foot distribution center last year in the Town of Frankfort, New York. (Indicating).

So, that concludes our Q -- our own Q and $A$ of ten items.

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Thank you for that.

As you recall, the public hearing remains open. I think everyone heard that the tenant is Amazon, just to be clear. And so I will start to accept comment from the public, and we, again, will be here as long as it takes.

So, Nadine has requested that you state your name, and spell your last name, so that the stenographer can get it correct.

So, who wants to come up first?
(Indicating.)
MS. SPINK: Barbara Spink, S-p-i-n-k.

I am curious, after listening to that about the environmental report, if there's anything -- if they're doing such a great job, they have nothing to lose by doing the Type I full environmental report.

On June 13th, the Birchwood Association Neighborhood met with Scannell Developers. There, they informed us that the average annual salary for workers at this facility will be $\$ 27,000$ a year. So, there will be a few executives, and everyone else will be earning minimum wage.

Who can live off of that?
How does this provide good jobs for New York State?

How does this help create good jobs in Schodack?

We were also told that these workers will infuse money into the Schodack area. These warehouse employees, making minimum wage, will not have the time or money to buy a burger in Schodack, much less live here, buy homes and pay taxes. This project is less about providing good jobs in New York State and more about rich corporations making money
off the rest of us.
Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: I see you.
MS. CASH: Good evening. My name is Abby Block Cash, I live on 377 Woodward Road --

COURT REPORTER: Can you spell your last name?

MS. CASH: C-a-s-h -- close to the Pilot facility. I consider myself to be a hostage of the Pilot facility.

I am present tonight to address Schodack's mystery warehouse proposal, now identified as Amazon.

I was in attendance last week, or two weeks ago. I didn't speak then because many people expressed what I would have said; and anyway, tonight I wore my tie-die shirt and I feel stronger in terms of speaking.

But, in reflection, I do have three points to make this evening. Point one. There is an application for a very large size facility and warehouse, but up to tonight, there was no identified Applicant. I cannot
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imagine asking for anything, a car loan, bank account, an apartment, a library card, a job, without having to disclose who $I$ am as the Applicant. The only reason I can figure them doing this is that you permit it and they feel that they can.

I suggest, in the future, if anyone comes to our boards with a proposal where the Applicant is not identified, the application is stamped incomplete, and it is therefore made inactive or denied.

I am suggesting this should be your policy, and I can't imagine why it isn't. It appears that your current policy provides the Applicant with lead time to develop plans for their venture. As your role is to protect Schodack and its citizens, that goal should have no standing with you. Advanced planning by Amazon is to their advantage and not, necessarily, to the advantage of the populous.

Point 2. This project is of interest to this board -- at interest to this board, should certainly mandate a full, complete, independent environmental review. The project, no doubt, has many compounding
impacts to the environment, its people and the community.

Again, the actions of our boards must always be to protect the citizens that they serve. It is, therefore, obligatory that they not only avoid any impropriety but any appearance of impropriety. I cannot imagine why you would do otherwise.

Point three. If the Schodack boards decide to approve any aspect of this project, they need to be good custodians of their discretion; that is to say, they need to monitor the evolution of this project before, during and after it becomes reality for Schodack. Schodack's history, in monitoring projects, is not stellar for the 11 years that I have lived here.

The truck stop, Pilot, at Exit 12 has been left unguided for years. Trucks routinely and illegally line Route 9, Kingman Road, the ramps to I-90, and the Berkshire Spur, often interfering with the normal traffic flow, causing accidents and creating poor traffic flow. Tonight there was a big trailer truck blocking the two entrances of

Pilot. I don't know how long it was there, but that's just routine.

The excess use of Route 9 and creating -- will only increase with the Amazon proposal; and I can't imagine the additional havoc that will be added to this already congested area.

In addition, while I respect truck drivers and their vocation, I do not extend respect to the misguided population that sometimes follows them into areas where they travel. A visit inside Pilot will often associate you with people and verbiage that might be surprising to you. It is simply uncomfortable to be around.

Your obligation to monitor this project does not stop at the approval. Your obligation extends to safeguarding the citizens, taxpayers and their families of this community. I would appreciate you're committing yourself to this goal long-term and expressing that commitment with your deeds.

I am going to add a fourth point. Why is Amazon afraid of an EIS? They just stated that. It just was clear. It is how I am
receiving their message, but it's certainly -that's what they were there debating.

The impact is not -- the impact on the aquifer, the sound, the traffic, et cetera, is not for Amazon to answer. It is for an independent reviewing body. Their review is only self-serving.

Thank you very much.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. MILLER: My name is Cindy Miller, I live on Route 9, next to the proposed warehouse, and $I$ just want to know, if this goes through, do I get free Prime for life?

After the public comment hearing on
6/4, I left feeling hopeful that the right thing will be done. Needless to say, that night I got, maybe, four hours of sleep, just thinking of all the comments and recommendations to the Planning Board.

I listened to many residents in the Town with the same concern as myself. I also listened to individuals who do not even reside along the Route 9 corridor, or they live in East Greenbush, who feel there's a great opportunity. Of course, they do not live here
and are only concerned with lowering their school taxes.

There are individuals who own businesses, but, ironically, there are -COURT REPORTER: Ma'am, can you please slow down?
-- they are construction companies who hope to benefit from the building of the project.

Another individual owns a body shop which means more cars, more trucks, equals more accidents, resulting in more business for them.

There was also an individual who lives on top of a hill on Route 4, opposite of FedEx, which, by the way, is only 250,000 square feet, and is not built between two residential neighborhoods. They advised us they have no issue with noise or lighting. Well, that's great, but why would you be affected when you are on the opposite side of the road on top of a hill -- who, by the way, just voted down a casino rejected in their neighborhood.

Ironically, this is our Casino. I am
all for development, but it needs to be done so that the Town and its residents can benefit. A million square foot center is not the answer. Reduce the size of the building to 250 square feet. Working hours, Monday through Friday; and just, maybe, this would be more attractive to us neighbors.

Scannell advised the Planning Board on June 4th that they had tenants willing to sign a 15-year lease; and now Rensselaer County IDA has gotten into the frame of the 50 percent reduction in taxes for ten years. What happens when said tenant decides to move because they get a better offer somewhere else? We have an empty eyesore that is just calling for vandalism and decay.

I moved to Schodack 15 years ago, because I could not stand the traffic and noise associated with living in a big city. Have you driven up Central Ave. -- which, by the way, is a four-lane highway identical to Route 9 -- at 5 p.m., Monday through Friday? Remember when the traffic would flow? Not anymore. What should only take 15 minutes is now taking over an hour at that time of day.

Do we want Route 9 to be the same way?
I hear many people saying this Town needs more development, there is nothing here. Then my response is, move to Clifton Park, sit in traffic on the Northway, just so you can be two minutes from the nearest retail store.

I moved here to get away from the development. I have no problem driving 20 minutes to Crossgates, or 15 minutes to Lee, if I need to go shopping. I prefer to shop in local shops in Schodack or East Greenbush. I may pay a little more, but, to me, it's worth the trade-off of not having to go to Central Ave. and Wolf Road and Clifton Park. Maybe these same individuals should shop locally and help keep the smaller businesses around.

Has anyone even considered all the school buses that pick up and drop off kids, who, by the way, are mostly south of this project? And 70 percent of the traffic from Amazon will be going south, as this gentleman said.

How many times will tractor trailers be driving 55 down Route 9, and have to slam on their brakes for the lights flashing, or
stopping at all?
I would like to ask: Why is there such an urgency to get this built? Is it the developer, contractor, engineer, real estate agent and land owner who are pushing? Then let them wait. It's our lives that will be changed. And if they want it sooner, they can put it in their own yard.

They have no right to tell us how quickly this needs to be decided. Does the developer, contractor, engineer, real estate and landowner are only concerned with their profits and commission and not the well-being of the Town or its residents? Why would we even consider such a development, knowing that the school tax dollars, 1.5 million - did you guys get that -- we are not even getting half of it. It will go all to the Town, and nobody will send it to here.

In closing, I'd also like to express my disappointment that no one on the Planning Board reached out to visit my neighbors or myself to see how this project would change our lives forever.

How can you make an informed decision
if you do not physically check the area that is being affected?

I strongly urge the Planning Board to reach out and visit another town that has a million square foot facility between two residential areas; and see how it has affected, not only the people, but the Town itself.

Once this building is built, you can't go back to should have, could have, would have.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. LEONARD: Evening. Eric Leonard, L-e-o-n-a-r-d. I just want to take a moment and -- I think it's a great idea that Amazon is looking to come here, and there's going to be opportunities for people to get employment.

As I look over the agenda for the Planning Board, the one thing that $I$, kind of, noticed, is that they're taking up matters that go all over the town. All right.

People in Schodack Landing, people -sorry about that -- you are taking up things that are in Waterbury Road, Orchard Road, Station Road, Rice Road, Phillips Road, the
generator project here on Route 9 -- you are taking up projects that go all across the Town. That's in the benefit of the Town as a whole.

This project, I believe, is -- in that regard, it's for the benefit of the entire Town. I understand that the impact of this project is going to be locally to that neighborhood - the neighborhoods that it's going to be situated next to; but, again, you are looking out for the best interests -- and people are talking about protecting people and doing things like that.

Well, on the flip side of that, of protecting people, you are also protecting the People in Schodack Landing, south on Route 9, in Nassau, in North Schodack, in Schuurman Road, on Phillips Road and stuff like that. You are looking out for that.

By letting this project go forward, you are improving the towns. Water and sewer is going to be moved down. That project can be extended. And eventually extended down to Maple Hill Road, further down Route 9, perhaps tying in Schodack Landing.

I think if you talk to anyone from Schodack Landing that has been drinking the sulfur water down there and having really bad sewer situations down there, they're going to be all for moving progress down into the entire town. It's a start. For some people, it's going to be a very difficult start, but I think in the very end, it's going to lift everything up for the town.

Again, thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: I guess you are next.

MR. WALSH: Good evening. My name is Bill Walsh, W-a-l-s-h. I live at 1327 Muitzeskill, M-u-i-t-z-e-s-k-i-l-l, Road, Schodack Landing.

I want to start off tonight, just to say that $I$ am in favor of this project. I'd like to say thank you to Eric and Tim for coming here, for Amazon showing up here.

For one, I lived here 25 years. I really haven't seen any type of growth. It's been pretty stagnant here; especially along this corridor, from Route 9 all the way to the

Columbia County line. I think the newest project we have had here is between Pilot and Dewey Pile putting in a little addition -that's the biggest growth I have seen here in, probably, the last ten years.

I am all for lowering taxes and growing this community. I think Amazon coming in here -- I think a $\$ 27,000$ job for a young kid is a place to start. I think he can grow from there. I also think that there's going to be an -- in a vast facility like that, that there would be rapid -- a rapid outreach for expansion for kids to prosper, and to move up in their organization.

You know, some people -- I am not here to upset the neighbors; but I can say that if you buy a home off a corridor that's a four-lane highway, I think in the near future you are going to have to, some time, figure that there's going to be some growth.

How many projects are we going to sit here and actually deny? I think we have denied three projects in the last, probably, five or six years, if my memory serves me right. The last one being the Dollar General,
the Dollar Tree Distribution Center.
I am also with the -- a representative
for the Operating Engineers, and I spoke here before in front this board. I'd like to say that, you know, a project of this size coming in here is vital and necessary for a lot of construction people in this area to go to work. The building trades are made up of 14 different trades, local trades of 22,000 members strong, most of them here in the Capital District, or within a 50-mile radius. And I'm sure that even though there's nothing been committed from Amazon or Scannell Properties, I am sure that they would be using mostly local contractors in this project, and would try to put most of these people to work. I mean, there is a few companies that, basically, follow some of these bigger outfits or box stores, but for the most part, they do try to reach out for local labor.

This piece of property, it's bringing in $\$ 8,000$. I think $I$ checked on it -- it was $\$ 8,000$. I am not going to repeat what Eric said, but you are talking millions in taxes, especially when the Pilot is done. I am in
favor of this project. Build this project.
And I can also tell you, I own
different properties in different states. I own a couple different homes, and I have one that's comparable to the one that I have on Muitzeskill Road, and I can tell you, I only pay two thirds of the taxes out there, out in Mass., that I pay here.

So, I am in favor of this project. Please build it.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. FELDMAN: Good afternoon. Steven Feldman, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}$.

I operate Birch Hill Catering in this area. We have been here for about 30 years. I live right down the road on Route 150. I am very much in favor of this project, as well as a couple others coming on board. The Town needs to reduce the tax basis, it needs to have more money coming in, it needs to have more jobs for people, and it needs to have more people being able to have a reason to come live here and do things.

There's barely a restaurant in town, there's barely any shops. It's hard to buy
local because there is not enough business going on here, so I'd love to see some growth here in town.

I think Amazon has proven itself over the years to be a good neighbor in the other communities it's in, so I look forward to you coming in town.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. JANSING: Bob Jansing, 6 Star
Terrace --
COURT REPORTER: Could you spell your last name, sir?

MR. JANSING: J-a-n-s-i-n-g. 6 Star Terrace, resident of Schodack for 15 years.

I am not sure if it's been made clear by all the documentation that's been presented; but there is no demonstration of reduced taxes -- I believe I am correct in that. So, the speakers who have been saying that we will get a reduction in taxes have to go through the documentation. That is incorrect.

The other thing I have heard that is very disturbing is that -- and I am a member of the Birchwood Association -- that we are
considered, in the vernacular, as collateral damage -- and the Hillcrest neighborhood. That, sure, this monstrosity will go in. And I have spoken before the board before. If you haven't seen a million square foot facility, I encourage you -- and I will pay for a bus ride -- to go down to Route 81 south to look at what a million square foot facility looks like. And this will be placed in between two residential areas, changing Schodack forever.

Those folks speaking in favor, my guess is, they don't live anywhere near or probably will not travel anywhere near this facility. And the comment that the -- as Scannell has said -- that property values will rise, I find that incredibly hard to believe because once construction starts, the property values will not rise at all, and we have empirical evidence that some of the people in my neighborhood have been unable to sell their homes for the asking price.

I have said this before the board previously. If you go on the board website to look at how this Town is promoted, the

Buffalos in the field, the people gathering, the flowers on the fence -- I will participate in changing, help you change the website if this property, this proposal goes through, because you will have to ethically include this monstrosity on your website.

Keep in mind, taxes will not be reduced for those folks who talked about reduction in taxes. That's not going to happen. I think the word has been used, has been stabilized, which is a euphemism for -it's not going to happen.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. CASH: Good evening. My name is Lawrence Cash, C-a-s-h, I live at 377 Woodward Road in Nassau.

I have heard things recently and in the past that are confusing to me and seem to be in conflict in some ways.

I heard in the past that Exit 11 would be the main entrance for this; and I heard tonight, I believe, that they will be -70 percent of the traffic will be heading south. That would be towards Exit 12.

There's -- as my wife had stated, there's already a mess at Exit 12. There's also drivers who are limited in the numbers of hours they can spend on the road. They end up parking onto 9 , off of 90 , on the side, just sitting there. At peak hours, the traffic backs up to the Hannaford warehouse. You are creating a mess. And the traffic studies here didn't seem to go down that far to take a look at the traffic. That should be studied -- a full environmental impact, I think, if ever is needed, is needed here. What they got away with in the past, and why, I am not sure.

I also have a little problem with math. I heard there were going to be 800 employees; correct me if I'm wrong.

If you have 800 employees, and you have three shifts, that's an average of 266 employees at a time. Assuming every one of them drives their own car, I still can't see why you need a thousand parking spots. This doesn't make sense to me.

I also believe heard there was a 32,000 square feet of office space; is that correct? Correct me if I'm wrong.

In general, or from a ballpark, to use a -- my estimate is, you could fit 320 employees in 300 -- in 32,000 square feet of office space. Mr. Laberge could probably answer that better, as he is very familiar with real estate; but $I$ think the plans for this is far beyond what -- they're over building, and I wouldn't see why they would waste money to over build -- to put in a thousand parking spaces, to put in 32,000 square feet of offices. I think the wool is being pulled over our eyes. We shouldn't allow it. I am very upset by this. And I felt this way all along, especially by keeping us in the dark.

I also heard at one point, I think it was two meetings ago, that there was going to be one thousand SKUs, which is one thousand individual items. And that's why I said it couldn't be Amazon because that wouldn't make sense. I think they may be planning to put in a computer operation center here, other things that they are not telling, and does not fit the description of this facility.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. SWINTON: Crystal Swinton,
S-w-i-n-t-o-n.
I appreciate your extending the public comment period on this proposal, however, it's kind of disturbing that we are just learning about the Applicant tonight, as the period is about to close. So, we are told that the increased business that this facility would attract to the area will increase our property values. I can just about guarantee that that is not going to be true for our property.

Our home, on the northeast end, the proposed berms aren't going to be high enough or long enough on our property line to obstruct the view, the lighting, or the noise generated by the employee parking lot. In addition to the increased traffic volume in front of our home, now we are learning that there's also possibly a sidewalk going in that's going to go right in front of our home.

So, I am really concerned about the increased pedestrian traffic right in front of our -- believe it or not -- now very private home. That's going to leave us and our home
vulnerable.
What is going to prevent the pedestrians from cutting through our property and that of the Dirty Bird Antique Shop to get to the facility? I have some serious concerns about that.

Currently, there's a line of mature trees in front of our home, along Route 9, which reduce the sound and the view of the road. So, I am wondering if trees are going to be removed or added if the sidewalk is put in; so, I do ask that you address these concerns.

I also echo Barb Spink's concerns about the generation of the new jobs. I know it's being touted as a great benefit to our community -- yet, they are lower income jobs. It's highly unlikely that they are going to be able to afford homes in our community, and I suspect that since most people are going to be from outside of Schodack, they are really just going to pass through, and probably not do a heck of a lot of shopping here -- I could be wrong.

I am really glad that Amazon
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representative came up and told us about all the benefits because in my research about Amazon specifically, I did not find good things about how they treated their employees and the community that they are going into; so maybe they've changed.

In conclusion, I do urge you to issue a positive declaration. The size of the project warrants an environmental study. That is mandated by New York Law. The proposed project is a Type I action, for at least three solid reasons: One. The Applicant proposes the disturbance of about 60 acres, more than 600 percent above the disturbance threshold for a Type I Action.

Two. The Applicant proposes parking for about 600, maybe a little bit less, vehicles, which is about 60 percent above the minimum threshold for traffic impacts; and three, the proposed project is more than a thousand percent above the minimum threshold for the Environmental Impact Statement requirement that is based upon the ratio of development to population.

It would seem that we have nothing to
lose but everything to gain by really doing this the right way and getting this study done.

So, thank you for your consideration and attention to these concerns and requests. (APPLAUSE.)

MR. DeFRANCESCO: Hi, Tony
DeFrancesco, D-e-F-r-a-n-c-e-s-c-o. I'm excited. I wasn't sure this was going to happen. I have been working and owning my business here for 22 years. I have been involved and lived in and worked in Schodack since 1967. This is probably one of the best things that we have ever had happen here, for the folks here.

I know there's a lot of people that don't want it, but this was commercial property. The houses were built originally on commercial property. They were built on a variance many, many years ago. This property needs to be utilized. It is not getting us any tax money. It's not being of any benefit.

It is a big building. But it is also progress. We have $\$ 27,000-a-y e a r$ jobs -- I'm assuming full-time jobs with benefits -- yes,
they don't pay a million dollars; but that's 800 jobs in our Town.

You can't -- we have had no growth here for years. I have been waiting 22 years for this to happen. We have had so many opportunities. This looks like the most viable opportunity we have had addressed here. I applaud them for taking an interest in our small town. It's a beautiful town. We do business here.

And I know I run a crash business, but if they do the work with the traffic, they slow down -- and I would recommend, sincerely, that we try to slow down the speed because the cars heading south on 9 come through and they fly. It's been a problem for years in front of these neighbors' homes. I have seen it before. If we can slow it down. We got a signal light, we got trucks on the highway -addressing the issues on Exit 12 -- these are things that have been going on for a long time.

I think they're doing the right job
here. They are presenting a wonderful opportunity for the Town, our residents,
people of Rensselaer County. As I said two weeks ago, we need to keep more people here, we need to keep some more businesses going in our area. We need to have a few stores. I addressed this two weeks ago.

Back in the 70s, we had a Sears, we had an Aubuchon Hardware, we've had a Burger King. We had a lot of businesses happening and have failed. How many business have failed -- small pizza shops down where Cumberland is. Maybe we can revitalize this area, maybe we can start using it and make it happen.

Unfortunately, everything does have some pain. We have got to have a little pain and some understanding, but give it some time. It's going to all happen.

I am excited. I thank you for the opportunity to talk. I hope you folks do the right thing, and I am really excited about Amazon being here.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: So, you were
first.
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MS. RIVERS: Good evening. My name is Christa Rivers, R-i-v-e-r-s. I am a life long resident of the community. I grew up in East Greenbush and Schodack. I am a local realtor as well. I think that Amazon coming to the area will provide tremendous growth and opportunity for small businesses, and residential development also in this area.

So, I hope that the Planning Board takes that into consideration, and gives a positive outcome for this project.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. BRUNNER: Marci Brunner, 1692
Julianne Drive, Castleton.
COURT REPORTER: Could you spell your last name?

MS. BRUNNER: Brunner, $B-r-u-n-n-e-r$.
I just want to start by saying, I have heard a lot about -- this is commercial development where we live. We moved to our home in 2001. At that time, we did our research. This was zoned PD-3. It still is. PD-3 is not for warehouses, which sounds like this is. It was for development, which was light commercial use, and no heavy traffic.

So I just want to say that and be clear. I didn't move here thinking $I$ was going to have commercial development, such as an Amazon.

In addition, I am a life-long resident of Castleton. I'm 48 years old. I've lived here my whole life. I always thought I would stay here my whole life, but with this stuff happening, it really has upset me the way some of the things have been handled.

Tonight I will be submitting three critical articles from the Times Union, along with my written statement for the Public Hearing, that directly pertain to this type of proposal that I believe profoundly support a positive declaration and warrant an Environmental Impact Study.

I am also submitting a petition rejecting the mystery warehouse, which now I know is now Amazon, which is signed by approximately 200 residents, who are also advocating to preserve the lands of Schodack and adhere to the strategic vision that was articulated and adopted in the Comprehensive Plan regarding the approval of commercial development in our Town.

This Town has discounted residents who live near these proposed developments for years, and have forced extreme development ideas in the way of giant warehouses, which do not comply to the rural disposition of this Town.

This is underscored with the various paid conceptual and Comprehensive Plans prepared the Labarge Group. These grand visions sit on the shelf with no real marketing to get smart business development here.

The fact that the Town has dragged its feet on the zoning is why we are in this position. The pointing of the fingers need to stop between the Town Board and Planning Board. You all have a responsibility to the residents of Schodack -- as a Planning Board is appointed by the Town Board, and as Supervisor Harris ran his election campaign on a promise not to make Schodack a warehouse town.

My husband and I sent a letter to the Town, asking pointed questions on June 7, 2018, and requested a response. To date, we
have received none. Although this isn't a surprise, as this is common practice around here -- when anyone has questions, we are told, send a letter in.

I thought I would refresh you as to the questions we are still waiting a response from, which may have been answered, some tonight; but, again, we don't know until we get here what we are going to find out.

Tax relief. Will this actually provide residents tax relief? Our taxes have never decreased, instead, inclined over the years. Weren't residents promised tax relief with Hannaford and the Pilot gas stations?

When Supervisor Harris was asked this question, he stated that taxes will stabilize and not decrease.

Jobs. We heard a lot about jobs in this proposal. Do we actually really know how many are seasonal or temporary, or even if the number is accurate?

Will drones or robots be used to replace those promised jobs eventually? Again, sounds more like a warehouse, which we all know doesn't fit in PE-3, but no one seems
to care about that.
How about the current infrastructure?
Police, fire and emergency in place -- are they in place to handle a facility of this magnitude? Couldn't this fact alone drive the taxes up?

When will the residents of Birchwood receive a response regarding their petition that they submitted for a change in zoning back in May of 2013?

And I'd like to know, why wasn't the first public hearing for Scannell Properties listed on the Public Notice section of the Schodack website? It didn't appear until we sent a letter in, that was dated on 6-7. It miraculously appeared on 6/8.

I think I might have some of these answers now by doing a little research. Tax breaks. My husband attended the Rensselaer County IDA last week, and it was indicated the tenant was going to pay reduced taxes of 50 percent for the first ten years as an incentive by New York State. I will be submitting Article number one for those who like to read more about that.

Water and sewer benefits. I can now see from a Facebook post by Supervisor Harris that the Town has met with the IDA to review upgrades and expansions last week. It feels like whenever there is a Facebook opportunity or a photo-op., the Town boasts about all the wonderful things we're doing, music in the park, meeting with legislators, but remains silent on the issues or any questions that we bring up -- which brings me to the groundwater, aquifer and well contamination.

This is real. And anyone who says it is not, I invite you to visit people in Hoosick Falls, New York. That did not look good for the state and neither will this situation. You can read, in detail, about this in Article 2, which discusses the solubility of road salt and lack thereof with water.

Let's also talk about traffic. Does anyone really think we can sustain an influx of 300 -plus tractor trailers, and 800 to 1200 employee vehicles with our current road infrastructure? No way that this is possible.

Let's all use common sense here.

People will be seriously hurt and/or killed unless a full traffic impact study is done looking just beyond this development, and not stopping just at Maple Hill Road, but going up, at least to Exit 12 -- and this is five miles with Maple Hill Road -- and take a serious look at future impacts for future development.

It appears the Town missed the boat on Hannaford and the Pilot fueling stations. That area is unsafe and has already claimed lives. Article 3 will clearly explain why you need to have a full impact traffic study.

And what about the fire department and ambulance services? The developer recently contacted the fire house to communicate regarding provisions. Again, only after the issue was pushed. There is no emergency staff at the proposed warehouse, so it will drain our resources locally if something happened there. Imagine you were home and a loved one gets hurt and you call for an ambulance, but they're over at the warehouse. You are going to have to wait for someone to come in from a different town.

Where are our rights; and why does a developer and tenant appear to have more rights than us?

So, at the end of the day, what really are the benefits to Schodack? You have a moral and ethical responsibility to us regardless of the second floor pressures, as some officials have told us, to do the right thing, and declare a positive declaration so the appropriate and unbiased studies can be done to protect all of us who live in this Town, and not just us newbies, as some like to call us, as these are real issues that affect everyone.

The independent studies currently performed by the developer only included their warehouse and did not reflect any future development. A positive declaration is what's right and mandated by New York State Law.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. MEEHAN WILLIAMS: Good evening.
Jennifer Meehan Williams, 1666 Julianne Drive.
I promise I wouldn't bore you tonight with six pages. Very short, concise statements. We
met with Scannell Properties. They were very nice. They answered a lot of questions. The gave us more information but proved some of our points; that the Town is asking them to pull the water and sewer district to the end of their property; therefore, creating a commercially exclusive water and sewer line, which then, if there was further development, would be addressed by a full EIS, and a growth induced impact statement -- which is not addressed by their independent studies.

Their independent studies look at their purpose -- their purpose is to build an Amazon warehouse, not a sales distribution center -- a warehouse. Let's be real, if you are putting a canoe in there, it is not a sales distribution center.

So, my point is that when you pull it further down, and you look ten years down the line, 20 years down the line, the line where I want to live here -- I want to live here. I am standing here before you, instead of at home with my own two children because I want to live here, not because $I$ want to cause a problem for you; but I also want respect. I
want the respect that if I want to live here, then you provide me safe water through the aquifer -- which, again, that's great that they're putting the hood on top, but they still couldn't answer the question, how do you separate salt from water. You can't, unless you evaporate it. I teach fourth grade -- I know. It's a very easy science question but it's a science question that needs to be answered by someone who is independently qualified to do so. Their studies do not provide that.

And I appreciate that they're going above and beyond what the state requires them to do, but I want above and beyond even more, and so should you, because once this goes in, you can't take it apart; and once the aquifer is damaged, it's over, it's done. It's not my water, not just your water, but the entire Town source; and that is something that you have to look at. We have to not sit here and say it's this warehouse, it's that warehouse. We need to start thinking 10 to 20 years down the line.

If you are asking them to pull the
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water and sewer to the end of their property line, you are, therefore, ready for development further down Route 9; but their traffic study looks at their traffic. It only looks at their employees and their truck stops; and last time I checked, there's about six properties for sale down Route 9 that are prime for the economic development that they're talking about.

Well, that's great. Is that economic development also on the aquifer because the aquifer is about 12 miles long, and leaving all of that sewer and water line that's going to pass right by my association, and if you don't offer it to me as a resident, how can you offer it to the people further down the line that are sitting on Route 9?

So, it's only for people further in development, but you are just going to pass us by -- that's not fair, that's not right. That's not helping the Town of Schodack. That's not always looking at the bigger picture.

Well, we need to look at the bigger picture in the environmental, in the growth
induced impact, looking at, what type of economic development are they going to be offering? A fast food restaurant? What are we offering? Housing developments? What are those purposes and properties going to be looked at?

And as far as $I$ am concerned, if people keep talking about the Hannaford and Pilot, a full EIS looks at a drop in the bucket and the ripple effect, and a drop in the bucket and a ripple effect -- that looks at how they connect. And that's what the People standing in front of here are talking about.

We're not just talking about Scannell. If you want to develop that cornfield, then develop that cornfield but develop it understanding it and thinking about long-term use, long-term rights; and also, if you are asking them to pull that sewer and water line to the end of the property, then you know that future development is going to happen, and you know that it's going to happen on the aquifer, and you know what type is going to happen. Well, get it on paper before you approve.

I am asking you to make a positive declaration for these three reasons: It is your preview. That's why I stand before you and say, I appreciate what you do, I don't want to be in your seat. You have a very important job to do.

And he is right, by stating that law, and I am going to state the law as well, that there are three reasons why this is a Type I SEQR, and his slides only said that you have the power to do so. So, it didn't say that you don't have to. It just said that you have that power.

So, when you look at me, I want you to understand, you have the power to determine whether I stay or I go, as well as the other residents of Schodack -- not just in Birchwood Association. And you also have the power to determine what further goes down Route 9 later on. So, we are not just standing here talking just about Amazon, but the future of Schodack, and the future that you provide by making that decision.

So, here are the reasons why you need to declare a Type I SEQR. The Applicant
proposes the disturbance of about 60 acres, more than 600 percent above the disturbance threshold for a Type I action.

The Applicant proposes parking for about 1,000-some vehicles, plus trucks -- 1600 vehicles, about 60 percent above the minimum threshold for traffic impacts. And the proposed project is more than a thousand percent above the minimum threshold for the environmental impact statement requirement that is based upon a ratio of development to population.

I stand before you very shortly, and I am imploring you to do a positive declaration for your own benefit, so that you have the information to decide, not just from them and not just me, a layman, quoting the law, that $I$ only partially understand because I can't read 700 pages of technical information. Please, I implore you to do the right thing. Do a positive declaration; do a growth impact statement.

If you are looking to develop
Schodack, know what you are going to develop and what the effects are going to be. Because
if you put Amazon in there, it won't just be those cars, it won't just be those trucks. It will be forever Route 9 changed.

Remember, your deeds are your monuments. What is your monument as a Planning Board going to be?

Thank you --
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. LEONARD: My name is Stephanie
Leonard, L-e-o-n-a-r-d, and I am a resident of the Town of Schodack. So, just quickly, I know that a lot of other people want to talk. So, I just want to take this opportunity to extend a thank you to Scannell Properties and Amazon for considering the Town of Schodack, for which I believe is a distribution center project that clearly falls within the PD-3 zoning.

I was a huge proponent because I grew up in the Town of East Greenbush, for the East Greenbush FedEx location. And I have to say, that it's an absolutely gorgeous facility that is maintained by a lot of small local business owners; and I am hopeful that this project, here in the Town of Schodack, will resemble
the same.
I also want to thank the Town Board and the Planning Board for doing a great job throughout the entire process. I feel that the entire process has been informative and done responsibly. I am a small business owner, and I believe that this opportunity is wonderful.

There are far too many reasons for me to list why this would be good for my business. However, as a taxpayer, and mother of two young children, this is also an opportunity that is even more wonderful for me, again, for many more reasons than $I$ want to stand here and take up your time on.

Quickly, I would just like to comment on some of the previous speaker points. I'm sad to hear that some comments about $\$ 27,000$ is a sad starting salary. When you calculate the benefit package that comes along with that $\$ 27,000$ salary, I would probably have to say that we are talking about $\$ 35,000$ annually. And even as a small business owner, I cannot afford the folks that walk through my door -health insurance, paid vacation and retirement
the first day that they start.
How much money do you think our teacher aids, janitors and school bus drivers make at Schodack Central Schools and East Greenbush Central Schools? Pretty close to that $\$ 25,000$ which was mocked at. And they are hugely invested in our community and they can certainly afford a good hamburger.

At the end of the day, I welcome the Amazon project, and I am very hopeful that all the folks that have feelings for and against it can come to common ground. Letting the folks of the neighborhood development steer yet another phenomenal opportunity away would be severely unfortunate.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. STEFFEK: Hi, Heather Steffek, $S-t-e-f-f-e-k$.

I live at the corner of 9 and 20. I see about 20,000 cars and trucks go past my house every day. I see accidents. And so, I do have a concern about traffic. I am not for or against the project yet because I don't have enough information to make a decision.

I do hope that if it does go
through -- and if it does not, even if it does not -- the Planning Board does work with the Town's truck community to hopefully increase safety at the intersection, and minimize unnecessary engine breaking. It is a very a loud noise pollution that $I$ deal with about every five minutes from 5 a.m. to 10 p.m., and I have a toddler, and I would like to get some sleep.

So that -- I also have another question for Amazon, and that is: Is there going to be a -- like a power -- large power draw? Will there be a large power draw? Will there be a data center there? And if so, will that affect everybody's power bill? Studies have shown that data centers increase the power bill for the entire area. So, that is all.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Okay.
MR. STOKEM: Ken Stokem, Maple Hill
Road. I am not a Birchwood resident, so I don't live in there. Fortunately, my house isn't going to be immediately affected by
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this, but because I have a long-term care and concern about the Town, I've been a long-term member of a group called the Schodack Planning and Development Association. And that association, back about nine years ago, worked with the Town and formed a Town committee under a couple of administrations ago, where they came up with commercial design standards for the Town; and they incorporated a lot of expertise -- some from this board, some from the ZBA, and other professionals in the community on that board -- they came up with the commercial design standards which has been circulated at different times to the Planning Board -- I don't know if each of you have seen that or not, but it's a beautiful document. And it specifies how commercial structures should go in in the Town; and one of the things you want to hide with a commercial structure is the parking; and you hide that by various means, by putting it behind the building, not beside the building or in front of the building. And the parking on this appears to me as though it will be quite visible as you go up and down 9 and 20.

And I don't find this building particularly attractive either. I think that they're doing what they need to do based upon what you are asking them to do, or the community is asking them to do, but I don't think they're doing anything more than what they have to do; and we are seeing that. Gradually, they're giving a little bit more here and there to try and screen things and make this thing a little bit more presentable, but that's because it's not so good to have around in the first place and not so good to look at in the first place.

So, I know a number of you are professionals, and I know a number of you know that certain towns do have commercial design standards; and I would hope that you would try to, in a sense, get the best you can for the Town in terms of how this is going to look if this gets sited here.

Now, I have a number of questions and concerns beyond that. One of the things that I have been trying to bring forward with the Town for a long time, is factoring what the end of life is going to be of any kind of
structure of this kind of size that we bring into the Town.

I am a regular attendee of the Town Board members, have been doing so for about a dozen years. I used to come to this board meeting, every meeting for about seven or eight years, so I have some idea of the problems that have propped up with the Town, and what it takes to get a simple structure down, like a house, and get that removed. How the heck do you get rid of a million square foot building at the end of its life and the tenants walked away from it?

What do you do to get rid of it? And how do you plan for that up front, not somewhere down the line when you are stuck with it. So, that's something that I would like the board to try and think about in terms of this facility because now is the time to think about that, not ten years from now, or 20 years from now, when this building is no longer wanted, when it's no longer serving Amazon's purpose, and it falls back into the Town and the taxes aren't paid on it, for one reason or another; and we have no means to get
rid of this building on our own based upon our current tax base.

Another thing that I have been speaking about for a number of years is the town aquifer. I have seen bites taken of the aquifer over the years, or attempted bites taken of it. I feel that this warehouse, once it goes in here, while it is going to occupy a large area that's in, what you would consider to be a recharge area for the aquifer -- it's going to block that recharge area.

Now, by itself, if that was the only thing that was coming, that might be acceptable; but I think that this is just the beginning of a whole row of warehouses that are either going to come up to accommodate this, or because they know they can get warehouses in there. That's the main business that they have been trying to put in the Town since I moved here a quarter of a century ago is warehouses.

We should be able to have something other than that. So, if this goes in, does that begin the chain of warehouses going all up and down 9 and 20; and perhaps in other
areas of the Town because that's what we are seen as? And I am afraid it is going to open, kind of, a flood gate for that. And each of those warehouses that come in, whether they're a quarter of a million square feet or a million square feet, block another recharge area.

And there's runoff from these things.
I am somewhat impressed with their ideas for trying to capture some of the runoff, but as it was pointed out, the salt won't be captured. They said they won't store salt on site. Will they commit to not using salt at all? I doubt that.

There's also going to be runoff from these thousand vehicles, these 300 trucks or so a day that are going to be passing here. There's going to be all kinds of things washing off of them, and it is going to get concentrated as it goes into the aquifer in this way.

Now, I am also concerned about the cost of this in terms of -- I can't believe that this, in any way, is going to lower anybody's taxes. When you try to look
forward, which is what Schodack Planning and Development Association has been trying to do for a long time, how do you lower taxes when you increase demand for services?

How do you -- what capacity do we currently have to address serious emergency concerns at this facility? Fire and police and ambulance, and whatever else comes along?

How do we address this with our current inventory of resources? I don't see how we do that.

So, there's going to have to be expenditures in those areas. Maybe Amazon will be generous and contribute some money here and there towards it; but, largely, I think it is going to lead us towards, something more along the lines of professionalizing, at least in part, our fire companies to help service this, and other warehouses that will follow it. I think it is going to cost us a lot in terms of police calls.

I have two brothers that live in Spartanburg, South Carolina, where a nearly identical warehouse has been located for a
number of years. I don't know of anybody in that area that -- other than maybe people that are working there and some people that are getting business off of it, and I'm sure that there are those -- but I don't think the bulk of people there are thrilled to have this warehouse. I have been by it, I've seen the size of it. It's massive. I mean, it's really hard to conceive of how big these things really are.

And they're not loved by the communities that they're in, not in general. You are not going to find that. And I doubt that Schodack is going to really love this one as much as some of the business owners and the business people -- I appreciate the fact that some people will draw some good. And I can understand why they advocate for their interests in that way. I would, too, if I was in their circumstances; but, by and large, it's likely to knock tens of thousands of dollars off of the values of a significant number of homes, to lead those people grieving their taxes, and could be a net loss of tax revenue for the Town. So, I don't think
there's any argument that can really successfully be made that this is going to do anything to lower anybody's taxes. I will be greatly surprised if that happens.

In terms of -- they're talking about all the trees they're putting up there, and many of these trees are going to be on berms. My experience is that when you put trees on berms, they dry out, they don't get the water that they need. It's hard for them to sustain life if you got them up, sort of, on a mini-hill kind of thing.

How are they going to keep these trees alive that they're going to be putting all over the place? And who is going to take ongoing responsibility for making sure that there's live trees that are going to grow to the sizes that they think they're going to grow to? So, I think that that's something that the Town needs to consider is, will this screening actually be effective, or will it be a bunch of dead trees?

I can understand, again, why realtors would be for this, because $I$ think it is going to produce a great deal of turnover of housing
in the Town; and that can only be a net positive for realtors. So, there's an advantage to those people to speak in favor of it; but $I$ think there's too many questions.

You have had a lot of very articulate people up here, raising very good questions. And I don't hear as many questions as I'd like to be hearing from the board. I have heard more questions sometimes on much smaller issues, than $I$ hear on these bigger issues that come. I can appreciate -- it's always clear to me that Wayne does his homework. He asks a lot of very good questions on everything. And that's very apparent, but on a project that's unprecedented in terms of its potential impact on the Town, I'd like to hear the board showing that they're really asking questions and pushing forward and advocating for the Town because I hope that that's what you are here for; and I ask that you please do that, and you please be the -- whether this goes in or not, get us the best deal you possibly can if it goes in. And if it is not the right thing for the Town, keep it out. Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. MISIASZEK: Paul Misiaszek, M-i-s-i-a-s-z-e-k, Maple Hill Road.

A lot of people have spoken tonight. There's been a lot of emotion; a lot of speculation. I think we have to work on facts.

Presently, the property pays a very small amount of taxes. These gentlemen have gone to great lengths to prove the financial impact of this property. It's significant.

The traffic issue. I have done some limited research, but it appears to me that the traffic on the corridor, from 9 to 20, to Exit 12, is utilized, minimally, probably 20 percent what they expected for that size of road.

Future development. I see this as a huge catalyst. We are going to pick up infrastructure from the split to their warehouse, but it's also going to give us tremendous visibility. How many people, towns, cities, regions, have been clamoring for Amazon to come to their location?

The City of Albany put in a bid. It
was denied. I think we are incredibly fortunate they want to come here.

Also, when people talk about the opportunities, warehouses, versus non-warehouses, I have spent over 30 years as an environmental professional. I have done due diligence on very large projects, where people have walked in, spent hundreds of thousands of dollars, and been denied for projects; and they just walk away. However, when they are successful, what are they putting in there? Would we want a WalMart, with 10,000 cars and all those people? That would just crush our police force.

A warehouse storing kayaks with no fuel tanks, limited environmental impact, not drawing any production water, is a very good opportunity for that site. It's not going to draw as many resources as people speculate. We can't let emotions overrun a good business decision.

I think management of the salt would be very prudent. It is going to take a lot of salt to impact the aquifer. It would have to be a chronic misuse for a long time. They
just don't put that much salt down in a parking lot.

As far as the traffic, $I$ think it's a huge positive, putting in lights, reducing the speed limit, and clearing out the trees from 9/20 to, like, the Dirty Bird Warehouse. That visibility is a problem that Amazon did not create. It's been there.

So, the issues that people stated about the Planning Board have nothing to do with this project. Some people that have spoken tonight no longer live in the area. I live in the area. I have lived here for 25 years; and I think the visibility we will gain is going to be the start of developing the rest of Route 9.

So, East Greenbush picks up the majority of the taxes to the School District from this site; however, all the people that work here, all the turnover houses, all the additional houses built, will be a boon to the Maple Hill district.

We need kids in our district. If we continue this streak, we will be forced to merge. We will lose our quality of life. So,
this goes beyond the two housing developments. It goes for the rest of Schodack. And I think that's very important because 800 jobs is an excellent start. So, maybe we only get a percentage of them, but that's more than we have now because how many people are working there now? A couple tractor operators.

How many taxes are they paying now? It's very important that we take advantage of the visibility where you get -- because we can extend our infrastructure. And like the gentlemen said, Schodack Landing can benefit.

The issues at Pilot with the trucks can be managed with someone holding people accountable.

I think this is a great idea. We should do this. And you talk about legacy? Do you want to be the people that turn away Amazon, when hundreds of other communities are dying to have them come here?

Let's have this ware -- this sales distribution center come here. Let's get a good partner. There's social responsibility. They're on the uptake. Amazon is one of the hottest companies because they're cutting
edge. It's not like the old warehouses -trucks come in, spills and such. No fuel tanks. I vote yes. I implore everyone else to look at the facts, not speculation.

Visibility lives on this life for 20 years. Large buildings like this have been taken down. I have worked on projects -- you can look at Horseheads -- the A \& P was much bigger than this. People built new development right over it. They had to take out millions of concrete, tons, and it was done. So, we can't let what will be scare us from this opportunity.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MS. BRUNNER: Alisia Brunner,
B-r-u-n-n-e-r, 1692 Julianne Drive.
I was not prepared to speak tonight, but after hearing a lot of the comments tonight, I am very disheartened by what I hear.

I have lived in Schodack my whole life. I just graduated from school a few weeks ago. I came back home. Not a lot of people come back home after they graduate,
they go somewhere else. They move out across the country. I came back to Schodack. I like my small town. My family is here. Everyone I know is here.

I have worked in Schodack. I worked at the town camp in the summertime. I was a counselor there. I am now Assistant Site Director there. I work at the school district as a substitute teacher, and I work right down the road as a waitress at My Place. I'm in Schodack all the time. This is my home.

I like how I can drive home at night and not worry about being killed by a tractor-trailer. I like how I can drive home, after working the late shift, knowing that I can walk to my driveway safely. And I can walk through my neighborhood safely, if I want to take my dog for a walk. I don't know how safe $I$ would feel if a distribution center like this came in and took the safety away from me.

I have lived here my whole life. I know 22 years isn't too long, compared to some of the residents here who lived here for 40 , 50, 60 years; but I plan on growing up, I plan
on starting my life here, the next chapter of my life here in Schodack. And I was hoping that $I$ could do that in such a rural town.

A lot of people have been comparing this warehouse to the Burger King that failed or the Sears that is not here any more. I was not around to see the Sears. I do remember the Burger King, it was right across from my elementary school.

This warehouse is on such a larger scale than the Burger King itself, and even the Sears. I strongly urge you to declare a positive declaration for this so that I can raise my family here, like my parents raised me here, and their parents raised them here. Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. VANHOOSE: Stephen VanHoose, architect, at 3 Edinburgh Drive, East Schodack.

COURT REPORTER: Could you spell your
last name?
MR. VANHOOSE: V-a-n-H-o-o-s-e. I
almost wasn't going to speak tonight, but after Jen Williams got up here and Mrs.

Leonard up here, both sides of the argument, I am looking at this, and one of Mrs. Leonard's comments was, for those that have positive comments about this, those that are against it, she hopes to find a compromise. I think the only way you are going to get to a compromise, to see both sides of this argument, is to really put through and declare a positive declaration and make them do the EIS.

I think that's the only proper -- make sure that all the T's are crossed, all the i's have been dotted, all the facts have been brought forward, and we really see the long-term development. I said, at the very beginning of this project, I looked at this as -- I was afraid you were seeing this an easy solution to jump right in and just throw something on the ground and get something moving. And I would tread with caution on that.

I still stand here tonight, not in full support of it, but I have been through the EIS. I worked for an architecture firm in my early career. I worked on a high school
project down in Beacon. We had to do a full Environmental Impact Statement for a high school that was in a residential neighborhood next to the Fishkill Correctional Facility, with I-84 in the background. That's a small, 120,000 square foot school.

This is the $1.019,574$ warehouse. So, these guys are going to hate it because it's more work they got to do, there's more fees Amazon has to pay -- you know, Amazon can afford it. It's not going to hurt them. They need to do their due diligence. You are pushing this -- I am just afraid you are pushing it through too, too fast because they want it quick, and they want it to come in -do it quick, move on, and then they're going to go to the next town and get it done; but you just have to -- I think this is part of your due diligence -- other process.

I have respect for the engineers on the board. You are looking at it from your prospectives as design professionals. I speak to you as a design professional. Mr. Labarge, you are going to do your due diligence. If we have to pay you more fees to do a review on
this -- you are using my tax dollars, the court, the Town, tax dollars are being used -Nadine, was I incorrect when I said that?

CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: The developer puts escrow money in to pay for Mr. Labarge.

MR. VANHOOSE: Fair enough. I stand corrected.

Looking in the long term, $I$ just want to encourage you, make sure you are thinking out of the box on this. We're not, like Jen said, what they present to you is hyper-focused and to their advantage. What is benefiting them the most, the quickest, the fastest.

Where do we stand down the road? I don't know that we heard -- what are the state tax incentives coming forward? We have heard what the County IDA is doing for them, but what is the State DDC going to bring to that?

So, what does that do to their
ten-year pilot, where do they stand with their overall taxes? So, I think that's the responsibility of the Town, than us looking forward -- to bring that to the attention of the residents.

So, just a lot of factors at play. So, please, please, consider this for those -I want to see the development, I want to see Schodack grow, but we need to know what is equitable impact going to be down the road?

What is the impact for our agricultural areas? How is it going to impact the Village of Castleton?

And what happens to our town center, that town center plan that we came up with? My fear is -- and I really hope that it is not a document that you have let sit on the shelves, collect dust, and we don't talk about it, don't address -- and eventually the people that complain a lot about it, go way. You can't do that.

Same goes with the Comprehensive Plan. You have that in place. It's there. Reference it, use it. Those that bring development in the Town, abide by it.

You are going to do a disservice to the Birchwood -- and I forget the other neighborhood -- Hillcrest. So, I think, even to put their minds at ease on this, you have to do it. You have to do a positive

```
declaration, even if it takes a little bit
longer -- just do it, please.
```

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. SPINK: David Spink, $S-p-i-n-k$. Just one point, before I forget. I don't know what the average warehouse worker gets as far as a lunch break -- if it's a half-hour, they're not going to be buying burgers or anything. They're probably going to be brown-bagging, and, you know, just trying to relax during that half-hour. You don't have time to go down the road and sit down in a restaurant and have your lunch. I am really concerned -- I don't know what the economic development would be, but I think that is -these people spending money in Town is really overinflated.

But I hate to be beating the same drum but, really, a positive declaration is really what you have to do. You have to see -- you are sitting on this board.

What are your duties? Who are you reporting to? Are you reporting to a party boss or the Rensselaer County IDA, or a

Fortune 500 company; or are you looking out for the people of this Town; and saying: Well, if we are going to go forward with this, we are going to make sure it is environmentally sound, and we are going to make sure it's done properly?

I sat down in a meeting with the people from Scannell, meeting with Birchwood, and I came away, really feeling that they didn't, really, have a clue. They didn't have a clue what this would do to the Pilot area when you double or triple the truck traffic there, or you have got a very dangerous intersection on the 9 and 20 merge -- when suppose you have got a semi coming down Route 20 that wants to head south on Route 9, and then you have got tractor trailers all over the place, car traffic, and you can't see around the corner. It didn't seem like they really had a clue about this. So, this is why you need the full Environmental Impact Statement.

You would be negligent in your duties if you don't do it. You know, it's as simple as that. You -- you know, you can kiss up to
whoever you want, but, you know, your job is to do what's right for the people of this Town, and I think you ought to do it.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
MR. CIOFFI: Hi, my name is Kevin
Cioffi, C-i-o-f-f-i. I own several properties on Route 9 in the corridor that we are talking about, and I got to say, I don't see the traffic problems. I don't see -- I can't go down that road and ever be in a line of traffic. It's two or three cars here and there. It's not a lot of tractor-trailer traffic.

I also own property directly in front of Pilot, as well as in front of the proposed site for the warehouse. I think -- to share, I think it was Tony who spoke earlier -- the enthusiasm that should be here for this is undeniable. I mean this could be an economic engine for our Town.

I brought my businesses out here because I saw some -- this is an area that I thought, maybe I could get into a little bit before the boom, before some of this stuff
started. Some of us business people -- and people have mentioned, there's places for sale, and there are, but those places will fill up.

You can't tell me 800 people going up and down that road every day aren't going to stop for the pack of gum, soda, get pizza on the way home, the food -- they're not going to stop at the businesses on the way home and get dinner or support the community? They're definitely going to.

I also just want to say, you know, looking ten years down the road -- maybe I'm a glass full, half-full type of guy, but I look ten years down the road, and I see an Amazon warehouse that brought a bunch of residential development, economic boom to our schools. I see better schools. When we go to Colonie -and I know that's what some of these people don't want, and I get that -- but look at the resources they have, look at the town parks, look at the schools, look at the infrastructure that they have.

These places do this because they give incentives to some of these big companies to
come in and bring the jobs, bring the development -- and that's what it does, whether it's going to lower taxes or stabilize taxes. I'd rather be stabilized than higher.

So, at this point, I'm thinking, we got to share in the enthusiasm of this thing and run with it -- if you don't, I mean I really feel like you are going to miss a lot of opportunity.

I think people look at Amazon coming to Schodack, and they're going to go: Wow, what's in Schodack? And they're going to start looking up and down this corridor, and they're going to start buying the buildings, and they're going to start developing the land.

So, I am just here to say, I really think you should move this thing as quick as you can. Get it going. Get the community behind us on it; and I think ten years down the road, we got a great Town here. So, that's all.

Thank you.
(APPLAUSE.)
CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Going, going?
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Okay, Public Hearing is closed.
    Okay, members, any additional comments
before we adjourn?
    (NO AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE.)
    Do I have a motion to adjourn?
    AUDIENCE MEMBER: Is it still open for
written comments?
    CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: It will be open
ten days from now.
    We will leave the written comment
period for ten days from now. The Public
Hearing, though, was closed.
    Members, anything else?
    (NO AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSES.)
    CHAIRWOMAN MAYRER: Motion to adjourn?
    MR. D'ANGELO: So moved.
    Second; all in favor?
    (MEMBERS IN AFFIRMANCE.)
    Thanks, everybody.
    (9:45 P.M. - WHEREUPON, THE ABOVE
PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)
```

$\square$
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