

PLANNING BOARD MEETING - February 7, 2022
CALLED TO ORDER BY: CHAIRWOMAN DENISE MAYRER AT 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT

Denise Mayrer, Chairwoman
Wayne Johnson, P.E.
John LaVoie
Lawrence D'Angelo
James Shaughnessy, P.E.
Stephanie Leonard
Attorney Craig Crist, Esq.
Richard Laberge, P.E. Planning Board Engineer
Melissa Knights, Assistant to the board.

MEMBERS ABSENT

Andy Aubin, P.E.

APPROVAL OF DRAFT MINUTES — JANUARY 3, 2022

Johnson moved, LaVoie seconded that the draft minutes be approved, as amended, as the official minutes of this meeting.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes Motion carried.

Ayes: Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Abstain: D'Angelo

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

Recommendation to ZBA

Jeffery Ives
1305 Maple Hill Road
Proposed - Area Variance

Z806-22/R-40/199.4-2-5

The applicant was absent for the meeting.

Mr. Johnson asked what the offset is to the sideyard,

Ms. Knights stated 5 feet.

Mr. Johnson asked if the applicant was going to be able to put the siding on the two-story garage. He will be out on the neighbor's property with the ladder.

Miss. Knights stated the town code states any structure not attached to the home can be no closer than 5 feet to the property line.

Mr. Johnson asked if a message could go to the ZBA on his concern of the 5-foot distance to the property line from the structure and the ability to construct or maintain the building, even through this is a 10% coverage and not a side yard setback.

RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ZBA

D'Angelo moved; Shaughnessy seconded a **"FAVORABLE"** recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

6 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

Ayes: D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Subdivision

Schodack Golf

2021-38/R20 RA/188.-5-5.11

92 Schuurman Road

Proposed - 12 lot

Steve Hart, Engineer, and applicant was present for this meeting.

Planning Board member, Laurence D'Angelo recused himself from this application,

Mr. Hart stated they had submitted plans to the Laberge Group for a review and comment, He received the comment letter dated January 25, 2022 (see below), and he agrees with the comments that Mr. Laberge had made, there were a couple that he is looking for clarification on,

Comment #7 Lots 6 & 7 should be provided easements to the golf course driveway so that those lots' driveways do not have to connect to Schuurman Road. The applicant has offered that this would be possible if the driveway is ever connected to a public road, but the intent is to allow it now to reduce driveways on Schuurman Road.

He is showing on the plan that the driveways have (T) turn arounds so that might be able to tie into the private driveway of the golf course. But right now, there is really no plans to have the two houses with access. The applicant with the 12 lots does not have permission to encumber the other piece of land.

Comment #9 In general, runoff should not cross lot lines. Grading should be adjusted to place the swales on the lot lines or easements need to be provided at these locations: Lots a 7 & 8, b. Lots 9 & 10 & c. Lots 10 & 11

Mr. Hart stated as for comment #9 as discussed this is really good granular type of material and basically, the lots are flat until you get to the last lot which slopes down towards the drainage area for the houses further down the road. the area is very heavily

treed and to do a swale would take away the trees screening in that area. The 11 homes will have drywells and if needed they will add a second. There was discussion on footing drains and the need for drywells to be installed.

Mr. Johnson stated there should really be only one owner for all the land until the approval for these 12 lot go thought.

Mr. Laberge stated on his #9 comment was that you could use lot line swales or give easements to each other, they just don't want one person saying your water is coming down over their property, a close attention to these are details that can be worked out as this progresses.

Mr. Hart stated he is on for the Town board meeting this Thursday February 10, 2022 for the water extension for these 12 lots. He is also expecting comment letter from the county health department this Friday February 11, 2022.

Mr. Laberge stated he has no more comments regarding his letter of the 25th of January, he stated the applicant is close.

Mr. Hart asked if it were possible to get a conditional approval or does, he have to wait for the town board to approve the water extension.

Mr. Laberge stated this board has done that before, and if the board feels comfortable doing that tonight then that's what can happen.

Mr. Hart stated the town board will be discussing this on the February 10, 2022 and the public hearing will be on their February 25, 2022 meeting.

Mr. Laberge stated we are recommending a NEG Dec to the board parts 1-2&3. Nothing is going to change in this plan is going to effect the SEQRA analysis.

Mr. Johnson asked if he showed drilled wells on the lots in case the water extension doesn't go through could we proceed an either-or scenario.

Mr. Laberge if you're talking about a conditional approval at a later meeting, the applicant would have to show us that he could fit the wells and the septic systems with all the required separation distances.

Mr. Johnson stated the lots are pretty big, he doesn't see a big problem fitting them on the lots.

Mr. Laberge stated you have to make sure the separation is good from all the homes.

Laberge letter dated: January 25, 2022

Re: Preliminary Plan/SWPPP Review & SEQRA
Schodack Golf 12 Lot
SPB #2021-38

We are in receipt of the following for the above referenced subdivision application:

- Response letter dated 01/13/2022 as prepared by Hart Engineering to the concept subdivision review comments of 11/08/2022;*
- Engineers Report as prepared by Hart Engineering 01/14/2022;*
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Schodack Golf-12 Lot Subdivision date 01/13/2022 as prepared by Barber Engineering; and*
- Preliminary plans dated 01/13/2022 as prepared by Hart Engineering consisting of 17 sheets.*

Upon review we offer the following comments that the applicant should respond to:

- 1. The project is classified as a Major Subdivision due to the number of lots and the extension of the 12" water main.*
- 2. The Codes Enforcement Office has indicated the revised proposal with 60,000+ sf lots satisfies zoning per his email to the applicant's engineer dated November 1, 2021.*
- 3. A recreation fee as determined by the Planning Office is required.*
- 4. A Water District extension has been requested from the Town Board and it is expected a public hearing on same will be scheduled at the next Town Board meeting.*
- 5. Rensselaer County Department of Health (RCDOH) approval of the water main and septic systems is required. We have not reviewed the septic system plan or details (sheets 11-17) to avoid duplication of effort.*
- 6. The grading plans for the lots should be shown at 1" = 40' scale or less. Additional spot elevations should be shown on driveways, and proposed grading. Dry well rim elevations should be lowered by 6" or more to ensure flow to them after final grading is complete and lawns are installed.*
- 7. Lots 6 & 7 should be provided easements to the golf course driveway so that those lots' driveways do not have to connect to Schuurman Road. The applicant has offered that this would be possible if the driveway is ever connected to a public road, but the intent is to allow it now to reduce driveways on Schuurman Road.*
- 8. Driveway culverts should be shown with inverts and lengths. In addition, the pipe size on lots 7 & 10 are not specified. All driveway culverts must be a minimum of 15" diameter.*
- 9. In general, runoff should not cross lot lines. Grading should be adjusted to place the swales on the lot lines or easements need to be provided at these locations:*

- a. Lots 7 & 8
 - b. Lots 9 & 10
 - c. Lots 10 & 11
10. Existing mature trees (6" + A.B.H.) in the area of the proposed lots have been shown on the existing conditions plan along with type of tree. The applicant has indicated that a note regarding maintaining the trees was added, but it could not be found. Therefore, we recommend the following note be placed on both the Site & Utility Plan and the Grading and Drainage Plan:

"All trees called out on the existing conditions plan within 25' of the right of way of Schuurman Road shall be protected and saved during utility construction and home building. For every tree that must be removed, an evergreen and a deciduous tree shall be planted in its place within 25' of the right of way. There shall be no less than two deciduous street trees (existing or newly planted) on every lot within 25' of the right of way."

This note shall be large and bold on both sheets.

- 11. The existing trees shown on the existing conditions plan should also be shown on the Site & Utility Plan and the Grading and Drainage Plan in the background layer.
- 12. Lot pins should be installed on all proposed lot corners. Concrete monuments should be installed on the northwest corner of lot 11, the northeast corner of the property and the front corners closest to the golf course driveway for lots 6 and 7.
- 13. A tree planting detail is needed for new street trees. New deciduous street trees shall be planted three feet (3') from the right of way line.
- 14. The applicant should pipe the downspouts to the drywells on most lots. On some lots, the locations of the downspouts should be moved to the other side of the proposed structure to facilitate the piping.
- 15. Regarding the water main extension plans and details:
 - a. Flare fittings shall not be used as shown on the New Water Service detail. The detail should be revised.
 - b. A detail for tapping the existing water main for new services should be added showing the use of stainless-steel tapping saddles.
 - c. The hydrant detail should indicate red body hydrants.
 - d. Record drawings including GPS located information shall be prepared showing all features including tap and curb stop locations. In addition, ties to curb stop locations must be shown from landmarks to remain on site such as major trees to remain that will not be removed.
 - e. Clean sand shall surround all water services with 12" of clean sand below, above, and on each side of the pipe. This should be added to the New Water Service Detail and the detail to be added for water services from the existing water main.

SWPPP Comments:

- 1. The sequence of construction in the SWPPP and on the plans should include:

- a. *A requirement for a preconstruction meeting to be held with the Town of Schodack MS4 officer, MS4 consultant, Schodack Code/Building Officer, owner/operators, and contractors prior to the start of construction.*
 - b. *The first activity prior to earth disturbance on each lot to be the delineation of the entire limit of disturbance for each lot with silt fence or construction fencing.*
2. *The location of the concrete truck wash out practice should be shown on the plans for each lot or indicated in the typical lot control details.*
3. *A note should be placed on the drawings indicating that purchasers of the individual lots that they must adhere to the subdivision's SWPPP and conditions of the General Construction Permit GP-0-20-001, and the New York Standards and Specifications For Erosion and Sediment Control.*

SEQRA Recommendation:

After review of the preliminary materials, we recommend the project receive a Negative Declaration for the Unlisted Action. Attached please find the SEAF with Parts 2 & 3 completed along with a draft Negative Declaration. If the Planning Board agrees we recommend Board pass a resolution to that effect and inform the other Involved Agencies of its findings.

Closing:

The applicant should prepare final plans and plat with the modifications noted above and a detailed cover letter responding to the comments and indicating what and where changes have been made.

C: *Craig Crist, Esq. (via email only)*
 Thomas Choquette, Applicant (via email only)
 Steve Hart, P.E. (via email only)

LaVoie Moved, Shaughnessy seconded to wave the reading of the negative declaration.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

Ayes: Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Recuse: D'Angelo

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION
(SCHODACK GOLF, LLC)**

WHEREAS, Schodack Golf, LLC has submitted an application to construct a twelve-lot residential subdivision on a portion of the former Evergreen golf course.

WHEREAS, based on its consideration of the proposed Project, its review of the Environmental Assessment Form and all other supporting information submitted in connection with the proposed Project, and the criteria set forth in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, the

Planning Board, as lead agency, has identified and analyzed the relevant areas of environmental concern to determine whether the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this Board hereby adopts the accompanying Negative Declaration and Determination of Non-Significance, which is incorporated herein by reference with full force and effect and further resolves to re-adopt with full and effect any and all prior determinations to serve as lead agency and to classify the action as an Unlisted action.

Leonard moved Shaughnessy seconded to adopt the **negative declaration**.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

Ayes: Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Recuse: D'Angelo

Lot Line Adjustment

Kristina Macyowskie

2021-47/RA/210.-7-15.1

52 Graw Road

Proposed - 3 lot lines

Cynthia Elliot, Land Surveyor, was present for this meeting.

Ms. Elliot stated Ms. Makowski lot (1) tax map number 210.-7-15.1 owns 49+/- acres which will be reduced to 6.51+/- acres, Ms. Crance Lot (2) tax map number 210.-7.17 has 12.41+/- acres also, this includes .16+/- of an acre from Tony Maier and will increase to 35.86+/- acres, Mr. & Mrs. Simpson Lot (3) has 8.82+/- acres and will increase to 28.55+/- acres. Note there will be no new lots created.

Mr. Johnson stated the board likes to see on the map the lot acreage before and after, he sees where it says add 23 acres but not what the total acreage will be after. So we need to see the property owner, tax map number, the current acreage and the future acreage. For all the parcels.

Ms. Elliot stated the map show the tax map numbers, names and the current acreage and if you need the final acreage, I can add that no problem.

Mr. Johnson stated he does like the map showing lines to be removed, he asked about the small little piece going up to the power lines on the right of the map, is the access for a reason.

Ms. Elliot stated it was discussed with the landowner and one reason is to walk the power lines, they are not doing this for development purposes it is just to increase their lot sizes.

Mr. Laberge wanted to clarify who has access to Graw Road, what is the tiny parcel going to the same lot of Mary Jo Crance.

Ms. Elliot stated all lots have access to Graw Road, the tiny parcel is a 15-foot strip that was missed the last time this was section off it belongs to Ms. MacYowskie but will transfer to Ms. Crance. But will still be a separate lot.

LaVoie moved; D'Angelo seconded; to approve the 3 lot line adjustments contingent upon the submission of new maps with the acreage changes as discussed.

5 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

Ayes: D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Site Plan /Special Permit

Green Dale Community Solar Farm

2020/28/PD-1/227.-1-7

County Rt. 32

Proposed - PD-2 Utility Solar

Gillian Black, applicant was present for this meeting.

Mr. Black stated they were before the Town Board and were given approval for their PDD He believes they have worked out some of the comments with the Mr. Laberge and they are back before the planning board looking for a Special use permit and a site plan approval.

Mr. Laberge stated his last comment letter stated they had no further comments and they have met all the conditions requested. So he is in agreement with their request for approval should the board so agree,

Mr. Johnson stated he is looking for and has requested several times the minutes from Kinderhook, we saw an email from you on January 5, 2022.

Mr. Black stated he didn't bring them, but he did email them to the planning office.

Mr. Johnson stated he did not see them in the email, you need to follow up and get them to this board for our files as well.

D'Angelo moved; Johnson seconded to **Waive the reading** of the site plan approval
6 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried
Ayes: D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy
Oppose: None

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SITE PLAN APPROVAL & SITE
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT—GREEN DALE SOLAR, LLC; File No.: 2020-28**

WHEREAS, Applicant, **GREEN DALE SOLAR, LLC**, applied for site plan approval to construct a construct 7.5 MW (DC) solar array with solid state battery storage on a portion of a +/- 129 acre parcel, to establish a ground-mounted solar farm with associated electrical appurtenances, to be located on the North side of County Route 32 in the Towns of Schodack and Kinderhook. The property is within a Planned Development: 1("PD-1") in the Town of Schodack.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, per the attached Decision, that reading of which is waived, the Board resolves to grant site plan approval and recommends approval of a site development permit to GREEN DALE SOLAR, LLC, per the contents of the accompanying Decision, the contents of which are incorporated herein.

STATE OF NEW YORK

TOWN OF SCHODACK

PLANNING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application

of

GREEN DALE SOLAR, LLC

For Site Plan Approval

DECISION—SITE PLAN APPROVAL

File No. 2020-28

WHEREAS, the Applicant, **GREEN DALE SOLAR, LLC**, seeks Site Plan Approval to construct 7.5 mW (DC) solar array with solid state battery storage on a portion of a +/- 129 acre parcel, to establish a ground-mounted solar farm with associated electrical appurtenances, to be located on the North side of County Route 32 in the Towns of Schodack and Kinderhook. The property is within a Planned Development: 1("PD-1") in the Town of Schodack.

WHEREAS, the majority of the site is meadows and wooded lands, with a portion of the site being unutilized farm fields, with areas of protected wetlands;

WHEREAS, section 219-78 of The Code of the Town of Schodack ("Town Code") requires the referral to, and review by, the Planning Board for such project "in accordance with the standards and procedures set forth in this article," with that article being Article XI, entitled "Site Plan Review;" and

WHEREAS, section 219-81 of the Town Code" provides that the "preliminary site plan shall include, as appropriate, but is not limited to, the following:

A. General considerations.

- (1) The adequacy and arrangement of vehicular traffic access and circulation, including intersections, road widths, pavement surfaces, channelization structures and traffic controls.
- (2) The adequacy and arrangement of pedestrian traffic access and circulation, including separation of Pedestrian from vehicular traffic, walkway structures, control of intersections with vehicular traffic and overall pedestrian convenience.
- (3) The location, arrangement, appearance and sufficiency of off-street parking and loading.
- (4) The location, arrangement, size design and general Site compatibility of buildings, lighting and signage.
- (5) The adequacy of stormwater and drainage facilities.
- (6) The adequacy of water supply and sewage disposal facilities.
- (7) The adequacy, type and arrangement of trees, shrubs and other landscaping constituting a visual and/or noise deterring buffer between the applicant s and adjoining lands, including the maximum retention of existing vegetation.
- (8) In the case of an apartment complex or other multiple dwelling, the adequacy of usable open space for play areas and informal recreation.
- (9) Protection of adjacent or neighboring properties against noise, glare, unsightliness or other objectionable features.
- (10) The adequacy of fire lanes and other emergency zones and the provision of fire hydrants.
- (11) Special attention to the adequacy of structures, roadways and landscaping in areas with susceptibility to ponding, flooding and/or erosion.

WHEREAS, all required notice and other procedures have been followed, including but not limited to the supplying of all required information, and a public hearing, on appropriate and timely notice, was held; and

WHEREAS, this Board has issued and adopted a Negative Declaration and made findings pursuant thereto;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Board determines the following according to the aforementioned factors:

(1) The adequacy and arrangement of vehicular traffic access and circulation, including intersections, road widths, pavement surfaces, channelization structures and traffic controls.

*The site plan and access to the site have been designed to provide safe vehicular traffic access and include adequate road width for emergency vehicles and circulation. As the use is a solar farm, it will not generate much traffic during its operational phase.

(2) The adequacy and arrangement of pedestrian traffic access and circulation, including separation of pedestrian from vehicular traffic, walkway structures, control of intersections with vehicular traffic and overall pedestrian convenience.

*As noted in the Negative Declaration, the Project will not have any appreciable effect on traffic. It will generate virtually no traffic other than during the construction phase of the project.

(3) The location, arrangement, appearance and sufficiency of off-street parking and loading.

*There will be no off-site parking; as noted above there will be virtually no vehicular traffic generated by the Project.

(4) The location, arrangement, size design and general site compatibility of buildings, lighting and signage.

*The Project involves the locating of a solar farm at the existing residential-agricultural zoned site. A revised Visual Impact Assessment Report which depicts the visual impacts from prescribed vantage points has been reviewed and demonstrates such compatibility. The site is compatible with existing and surrounding uses.

(5) The adequacy of stormwater and drainage facilities.

* As noted in the Negative Declaration, there will not be a substantial increase in the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage as the stormwater system and grading were designed and are to be designed in accordance with all applicable standards. The proposed grading of the site will prevent substantial erosion after completion and during construction; erosion control measures will be required and implemented to minimize it as well.

(6) The adequacy of water supply and sewage disposal facilities.

*These are also adequate for the Project as designed. The project proposes no water use or wastewater generation.

(7) The adequacy, type and arrangement of trees, shrubs and other landscaping constituting a visual and/or noise deterring buffer between the applicant and adjoining lands, including the maximum retention of existing vegetation.

*See 4 above, and as also noted in the Negative Declaration, the contents of which are incorporated herein with full force and effect, the plan does contemplate the removal of some of the existing vegetation, but a portion of the site is being retained in its natural state. Existing fauna will re-establish in adjoining lands. The soils/areas between arrays will be restored after construction.

(8) In the case of an apartment complex or other multiple dwelling, the adequacy of unble open space for play areas and informal recreation.

*N/A.

(9) Protection of adjacent or neighboring properties against noise, glare, unsightliness, or other objectionable features.

*See 4 above; the subject Project is part of an existing residential/agricultural/rural site. It will not have any appreciable effect on traffic or create any noise issues in that the equipment proposed generates very little noise and is to be placed far enough away from any property/lease lines such that it will not be audible.

(10) The adequacy of fire lanes and other emergency zones and the provision of fire hydrants.

*As designed, same are sufficient.

(11) Special attention to the adequacy of structures, roadways, and landscaping in areas with susceptibility to ponding, flooding and/or erosion.

*See above; as designed, and as more fully detailed in the Negative Declaration, same are sufficient.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Board hereby finds and determines that approval for the site plan as referenced in the Laberge Group's January 12, 2022 letter (the "Site Plan") is **GRANTED**, conditioned upon applicant satisfying all conditions set forth in the January 12, 2022 letter and any other prior or subsequently issued letters, from the Laberge Group to Denise Mayer, Chair of the Town of Schodack Planning Board, as well as all other administrative matters, all of which are incorporated herein by reference with full force and effect, plus following conditions:

1. The applicant and the property owner must submit proof of insurance in an amount acceptable to the Town. Town shall be named a Certificate Holder and be provided at least thirty (30) days' notice if the policy is to be cancelled.

2. The following will be required during the construction and life of the facility:

a. All necessary bonds and sureties for construction and maintenance in an amount and form acceptable, and as required by, the Town Board, Planning Board, and Town Code, along with a construction cost estimate acceptable to the Laberge Group; and

b. All necessary bonds and sureties for removal in an amount and form acceptable, and as required by, the Town Board, Planning Board, and Town Code, along with a construction cost estimate acceptable to the Laberge Group; ; and

c. Annual documentation from the utility company that the facility is active; and

d. All other conditions imposed in the Laberge Group letter of January 12, 2022 and any of their prior or subsequently issued letters.

3. A letter from Applicant that it will comply with all conditions and requirements set forth herein and in any issued approvals and permits.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT this Board makes this determination based upon January 12, 2022 letter from Laberge Group to Denise Mayer, Chairperson, the previously adopted Negative Declaration and all findings made pursuant thereto, all of which are incorporated herein and will not be restated; and

THEREFORE, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Planning Board of the Town of Schodack has **GRANTED** the Application for Site Plan Approval to allow the construction of a Solar Farm on the subject property as proposed in the application with the aforementioned conditions and restrictions and also conditioned upon the Applicant satisfying the outstanding issues identified in the aforementioned letter by the following vote:

Johnson moved, Shaughnessy seconded to approve the site plan as written.

6 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose:

Absent: Aubin

<u>NAME</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>
AUBIN			X
D'ANGELO	X		
JOHNSON	X		
LAVOIE	X		
MAYRER	X		
LEONARD	X		
SHAUGHNESSY	X		

THEREFORE, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Planning Board of the Town of Schodack **HEREBY RECOMMENDS APPROVAL** to the Building Department of a site development permit conditioned upon all necessary approvals being in place, including but not limited to the foregoing conditions.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>
AUBIN			X
D'ANGELO	X		
JOHNSON	X		
LAVOIE	X		
MAYRER	X		
LEONARD	X		
SHAUGHNESSY	X		

Special Permit Conditional approval

LaVoie moved; D'Angelo seconded; to grant a special use permit for this facility pursuant to the decision to be drafted by the attorney and the engineer for the Planning Board to be formally adopted at the next meeting, condition on the Kinderhook minutes showing action on this matter.

6 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried

Ayes: D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Leonard, Mayrer, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

MEMBER DISCUSSION

RE: Schodack I-90 Corridor GEIS

Public Scoping Session

Involved or Interested Agency In accordance with the requirements of SEQR, the Rensselaer County Industrial Development Agency (IDA)

Mr. Laberge spoke to the board on this up-coming meeting being held here at the Town of Schodack on Tuesday February 15, 2022, giving the members the run down on the county and what this GEIS will mean for the I90 and Route 9 corridor. Stating anyone who is able to attend should. He himself will be here and as of tonight so will Wayne Johnson.

ADJOURN

Leonard moved; LaVoie seconded; that the Planning Board meeting be adjourned. There being no objections, Chairwoman Mayrer adjourned the meeting at 8:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Melissa Knights
Planning & Zoning