

**PLANNING BOARD MEETING - May 15, 2017**  
**CALLED TO ORDER BY: CHAIRWOMAN DENISE MAYRER AT 7:00 p.m.**

**PRESENT**

Denise Mayrer, Chairwoman  
Wayne Johnson  
John LaVoie  
Lawrence D'Angelo  
Paul Puccio  
Andrew Aubin  
James Shaughnessy  
Nadine Fuda, Director  
Richard Laberge, Planning Board Engineer

**MEMBERS ABSENT**

Attorney Robert Linville, Esq.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES — APRIL 17, 2017**

Johnson moved, Puccio seconded that the minutes be approved as amended.

7 Ayes. Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Opposed: None

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Resident spoke about VIP Structures, the Questar site, building, and the Valente site, (read letter - see file).

Resident spoke about the mining and site work.

Resident spoke on whether or not this is a sales distribution building or a warehouse it is still wrong in this area of town. Also asked about the Keller proposal for dumping fill on Brookview Station Road.

Resident spoke asked about new boring on the Valente site on the Rt. 150 or is the board relying on the Dollar Tree findings.

**RECOMMEND to ZBA**

Matthew Couch  
1 Sally K lane  
Proposed - Area Variance

Z764-17/R-20/189.1-6-7

Matthew Couch, applicant was present for this meeting.

Mr. Couch stated he is looking to install a 24 x 48 pole barn on his 0.37 acre lot and to do so he is required to have a variance and is looking for a favorable recommendation.

Mr. Puccio asked Mrs. Fuda to do the math for this lot and the added structure.

Mrs. Fuda stated the lot size is 0.37 we times that by 43,560 which is the sq. foot of an acer, that equals out to 16,117 sq. ft. and 10 percent of that is 1,611 sq. ft. this being his allowed coverage. The existing structural coverage is 2,388 sq. ft. giving him a difference of 777 sq. ft. over his allowed coverage, the proposed new structure is 1,152 sq. ft. placing the coverage total to 1,929 sq. ft. over the allowable coverage.

Mr. Couch stated he knows this puts him about 23% over coverage and explained that because he have a small lot he is seriously confined with what he would like to do.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ZBA**

moved, seconded a " **no motion made**" to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Ayes. Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: **Aubin**, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, **Puccio**, Shaughnessy

Oppose:

#### **PUBLIC HEARING**

-----  
**Nadine Fuda read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record:**

**Peter Brown / Nathan Piche published May 5, 2017**

**Chairman Mayrer directed the affidavit(s) of publication be made part of the hearing record(s).**  
-----

Public Hearing Opened at 7:24 p.m.

Public Hearing Closed at 7:30 p.m.

Peter Brown / Nathan Piche

2017-13/RA/219.-1-2.1

18 Clove Rd

Proposed - Timber Harvesting

Nathan Piche, Harvester, was present for this meeting

Mr. Piche stated the Brown parcel is located at 18 Clove Road along the thruway; this property was logged twice over the last 40 years. They are looking to remove low quality pine and let the northern hardwoods to continue to grow.

Chairwoman Mayrer asked the board for questions.

Mr. Johnson asked where the landing was going to be.

Mr. Piche stated in the grass field used for the dairy cows and there is a one acre spot where they will be doing the whole tree chipping operation and when they are done it will be fixed and reseeded.

Mr. Johnson asked which direction the lumber trucks will go when they leave the site.

Mr. Piche stated they will go left down Clove Road to the thruway. There is not a huge amount of truck traffic.

Mr. Johnson asked about the bridge over the stream, and is there any need for supports under the bridge as to not cause issues should the area by the banks be clay, they will not hold up to the trucks or skidders.

Mr. Piche stated he is working closely with DEC on the bridge; they plan to put concrete blocks under the bridge for more support and on the banks to prevent erosion.

Mr. Johnson asked if he was aware of the 25 foot buffer from the boundary line.

Mr. Piche stated they are aware of the buffer.

Mr. Shaughnessy asked if they were going to have an licensed engineer review the bridge design.

Mr. Piche stated the diagram of the bridge is actually the exact PDF file of the recommended example of bridge design and this is approved and monitored by DEC.

Chairwoman Mayrer stated when you have your DEC permit, make sure the planning office receives a copy for your file.

### **TIMBER HARVESTING**

Puccio moved, LaVoie seconded that the Planning Board be **LEAD AGENCY**.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

Puccio moved, Johnson seconded a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

LaVoie moved, D'Angelo seconded that the ***SPECIAL PERMIT*** be ***GRANTED*** contingent on: **permit from DEC for the construction of the bridge submitted to the planning office**

- a bond in the amount of \$ **600.00** will be required.
- this permit will expire on: **MAY 15, 2018** - one year from today
- Attorney Linville will draft a document for Chairwoman Mayrer's signature. The document shall include: hours of operation, Monday through Friday, 7 a.m. to 5 p.m., Saturday, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. with no harvesting on Sundays and holidays.
- The Forrester agrees to use the New York Best Management Practices.
- There will be a 25' buffer on all adjacent properties.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

**PUBLIC HEARING**

-----  
**Nadine Fuda read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record:**

VIP Structures Office and Training published May 5, 2017

**Chairman Mayrer directed the affidavit(s) of publication be made part of the hearing record(s).**

-----  
Public Hearing Opened at 7:30 p.m.

Public Hearing Closed at 8:00 p.m.

VIP Structures Office and Training  
1710 Schodack Valley Road  
Proposed - Office and Training

2016-41/PD3/189.-10-40.131&132

Eric Redding, Bergmann Assoc. was present for this meeting.

Mr. Redding stated they are working with Mr. Laberge on his review letter; they have addressed a majority of the comments and will continue follow through with the items to get them finished. He has with him a color elevations and a rendering of the building. They are still working with National Grid on getting gas to their site and if they cannot get it from them they will have to go with a liquid propane option. They have submitted their traffic study to DOT and they have not heard back from them on the report. They have also submitted the signage proposed for this site and it did have an LED moving portion to it and that option have been withdrawn.

Resident asked about the services provided in the BOCES building will be what. And are they currently provided somewhere else.

Mr. Redding stated the current center is on Rt.4 past Hudson Valley College, that building will be closing and all programs will be come to the new building.

Resident stated the rendering of the building could be worse, it's not the prettiest building, he believes this is architectural mask to this distribution center project planned, he feels this is lip stick on a pig as far as what this project trying to hide, he supports the BOCES program but for Mr. Puccio, what is the term of the lease agreement between BOCES and the town as far as taxes being paid to the town.

Chairwoman Mayrer stated we do not have the terms of the lease,

Mr. Puccio stated VIP Structures owns the land and will own the building and will be leasing it to BOCES, VIP is responsible for the taxes.

Resident asked if VIP or Valente will be getting tax relief from the Rensselaer Ct. IDA.

Mr. Puccio stated not at this point.

Resident stated that it is interesting to look at the renderings for the first time and was wondering if the represented for more information on the site.

Mr. Redding explained the entrance, the bus route within the site and the exits, the teacher and student parking.

Resident asked if they will be doing auto body work and or painting of vehicles. Will all transportation be done by school buses or are they planning on using CDTA, he was curious on how young people will be crossing Columbia Turnpike, is there any concern with the mixed use from the two different businesses. Kids and tractor trailers don't mix.

Mr. Redding state no, just auto work, transportation is strictly busses and or cars. There are no concerns for the different business mixing, all though there is no tenant for the second building.

Resident asked if the owner and or developer will not be applying for a pilot in lieu of taxes.

Mr. Puccio stated not that we know of.

Resident stated he is still concerned if they will be having a pilot program there.

Mrs. Fuda stated there are different types of pilot programs, such as one on material cost or a pilot for the taxes but as of right now she has not heard the town board mention anything.

Mr. Puccio state the IDA business is to grant for economic development purposes and there is no economic development purposes that is associated with this. This is really a transfer of educational services from one in Troy to this site here. In order for the IDA to grant a pilot they would have to take ownership of this property.

Resident was wondering what the status of the SEQR review was with this project.

Mr. Laberge the SEQR analyses is in process, the applicant has submitted a long form EAF and his office is still gathering information. There will be one SEQR action for all applications, BOCES project the Valente's 60,000 sq. ft. building and the subdivision.

Resident asked if sewer was available for this site. and if there was a projected time table from approval to construction competition.

Mr. Laberge stated the applicant has proposed to extend a gravity sewer main from Rt. 9 & 20.

Mr. Redding stated BOCES is looking to break ground sometime this fall so they can get the shell of the building done before winter and then work on the interior.

Mr. Johnson asked if the gate on the back lot manual and it looks like a one way road into the back lot are you going to have a do not enter sign installed.

Mr. Redding stated yes the gate will be manual and will be closed every night and they will have a sign shown on the plans and installed.

Chairwoman Mayrer stated the public hearing is now closed but written public comment will remain open for 10 days.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

-----  
**Nadine Fuda read the hearing notice(s) as published in the Troy Record:**

**Roderick Valente published May 5, 2017**

**Chairman Mayrer directed the affidavit(s) of publication be made part of the hearing record(s).**

-----  
Public Hearing Opened at 8:01 p.m.

Public Hearing Closed at 8:45 p.m.

Roderick Valente  
1710 Schodack Valley Road  
Proposed - Site Plan Mod & Subdivision

2016-16/PD-3/189.-10-40.131

Eric Redding, Bergmann Engineering, was present for this meeting.

Mr. Redding stated they are working with Mr. Laberge on the engineering comments, he showed a black and white rendering on what the 60,000 sq. ft. building will look like, it will be a standard prefabbed metal building and it will be one color.

Mrs. Fuda had Mr. Redding show the new lines for the subdivision.

Mr. Laberge had Mr. Redding show the proposed road and right-a-way and everything that relates to the lots.

Mr. Redding showed what was requested and explained there will be 3 parcels and one right-a-way. Parcel 1 is the BOCES 10.27 acres; parcel 2 is the sales distribution center 20 plus or minus acres and parcel 3 will be the remaining acreage of 20 plus acres. The road way bisects the whole parcel right down the middle, which is 3 plus acres and will conform to all town standards.

Mr. Laberge asked Mr. Redding to explain the grading for the road way.

Mr. Redding stated at this time the road way will be built at the intersection of 150 come into the site past the BOCES entrance, past the entrance of the sales distribution center and end in a cul-de-sac. They have preliminarily designed the rest of the road way to extend down to the parcel in the back so it is not land locked and will have access in the future when and if needed.

Mr. Johnson asked who will be responsible to finish the road in the future.

Mr. Redding stated he is not sure at this time, it depends on who may come in the future it will be them or Valente.

Mr. Johnson asked about the water and sewer approvals for extending the districts were going to be a contingency on the subdivision being approved.

Mr. Laberge stated correct.

Resident asked about the status of the DEC approval for the mining.

Mr. Laberge stated that is in the hands of DEC and they are coordinating with the applicant.

Resident asked if the planning board will not vote without an approval from the DEC.

Mr. Laberge stated no, along with the sewer and water approval by the town board the planning board can approve contingent on the DEC approval.

Resident stated they are going to contact DEC and demand they deny the mining exemption for the Valente property. And they will fight this tooth and nail.

**Site Plan /Special Permit**

Piasecki Steel Construction

2017-17/HC/210.-7-23.12

1264 US Rte. 9

Proposed - Site Plan Modification

Joe Forte, Brewer Engineering, was present for this meeting.

Mr. Forte stated the applicant Piasecki Steel is an erector and rehabilitator of steel bridges, they have been in business for 32 years, they have an existing 9000 sq. ft. building used for assembling and storage of materials, attached is a 2300 sq. ft. 2 story office spaces, in front of the building is a storage yard. They are proposing modifications to the site by adding a 4800 sq. ft. structure for construction material in that front yard. Also proposed is an additional 15,128 sq. ft. of yard storage for the steal components.

Mr. Puccio stated the more you can screen the storage area in the front the better. Maybe a double row of trees.

TO RICH LABERGE

**Site Plan /Special Permit**

Dagen Site Plan Modification

2017-15/PD-3/178.-12-24

55 Empire State Blvd.

Proposed - Site Plan Modification

Eric Redding, Bergmann Associates was present for this meeting.

Mr. Laberge stated last fall the applicant asked for a site plan modifications to extend the building another 100 sq. ft. and now they are asking to expand the yard area and reconfigure the stormwater facility. See his review letter.



Mr. Aubin asked about the lighting.

Mr. Laberge stated he has not looked into the lighting, but at one point the lighting must have not been pointing down but has been corrected.

Mr. Redding went through some of the changes, such as the 100 foot expansion without expanding the pavement area, they held off until now due to the winter weather. He pointed to the map to show the proposed new paved yard, and the site for the detention basin. They will minimize the tree removal in these areas as much as possible.

D'Angelo moved, LaVoie seconded APPROVAL of SITE PLAN MODIFICATION.  
CONTINGENT ON THE FOLLING -ENGINEERING COMMENTS - DOWN LIGHTING -  
MORE PLANTINGS IN THE I90 AREA.

7 Ayes. Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

#### **Site Plan /Special Permit**

John Keller / Al Symington

2017-17/RA/199.-3-29.111

1191 Brookview Road

Proposed - Site Plan for Landfill

John Keller, applicant was present for this meeting.

Mr. Keller stated his company is looking for a place to dump fill somewhere in town, they use their shop occasionally and Mr. Symington has a large area to fill up behind his barn and this is a good spot to dump the fill. He is aware of the stop work order and he is trying to hop in and rectify the issues for Mr. Symington.

Mrs. Fuda stated Mr. Symington has a site development for up to an acre and now he is into the limit area, and she did stop work order on this site because she went out with the towns stormwater engineer from Laberge, and there was no silt fence in place as requested, his slope was not correct which is part of the problem.

Mr. Keller stated they had nothing to do with the current issues; he will correct all of them if the town puts his company in charge and allowed to use this site as a fill site.

Mr. Laberge asked if Keller's would be contractually obligated to operate this site in conformance with all the regulations.

Mr. Keller stated his name is on the permit now, so yes.

Mr. Laberge stated any areas defined here, I see there is a 195 x 200 area.

Mr. Keller stated that is to keep it under 1 acer. They survey the area, put in the silt fence in day one and that is as far as they go until its filled up and that plan is met then they come back in and go for another acre. Mr. Symington has a 20 acre field to be utilized.

Mr. Laberge stated the town has to consider a couple of issues, one being the land development permit and the second is the MS4 regulations, and this needs to be looked into. He needs to look into this to see what really needs to be done site development wise.

Mrs. Fuda stated road bonds need to be set up for the town roads you will be traveling on. And it isn't an acre and then an acre process its anything over the acre that triggers the MS4 and the SWIPPP

Mr. Keller stated their intent for what they are here for now is and what he knows of is an acre or less. And the first thing that should be done is a survey to see where the limits are on this property.

It was agreed to have this go to engineering.

#### **Site Plan /Special Permit**

A Duie Pyle, Inc.

Rte. 9

Proposed- Site Plan Modification & Lot Line Adj

Site Plan Mod 2017-5 /HC/220.-3-2.1

Lot Line 2017-6/HC/220.-3-2.1

Gavin Veome, Environmental Design Partners, was present for this meeting.

Mr. Veome stated they are working on the Laberge comment letter and have addressed the items in a letter that was submitted to the planning office. The preliminary site plan map has a lot more detail information then the concept plan which created another review letter by Laberge (See File) which they are working on. They hope to have all items answered for the next planning board meeting which hopefully will be a public hearing. One item is the Lot Line Adjustment they are proposing (PB 2017-6 ) they were originally looking to purchase 5 acres at the end of the facility and they are now going to be purchasing 7.1 acres from the adjacent land owner, the other items are screening, they are going to add additional landscaping and earth berm at the back of the building towards the residential zoning district. They do not plan on doing anything with the existing sanitary sewer system or water but they will be requesting for septic review by Rensselaer County Health Dept. finally there are no changes to the office portion of the building.

Mr. Laberge asked about the salt storage area.

Mr. Veome stated they originally planned to put a salt storage area over in the back corner of the property but there is a clause in the town stating you cannot have an open area for winter salt storage, this will not be a permanent structure, it will have concrete block on three sides with a cover over, the open end is so they can load salt for spreading on the parking area and truck loading area. They have not moved it back 200 feet to avoid the stormwater areas.

*April 5, 2017*

*Re: Concept Site Plan Review*

*A. Duie Pyle Addition*

*SPB # 2017-5*

*Town of Schodack Planning Board*

We are in receipt of a site plan for the above referenced project consisting of three (3) sheets dated 1/27/2017 and a short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF) for the project. We offer the following:

- 1) The proposed project is the addition of 17,500 s.f. to an existing operating truck terminal owned by A. Duie Pyle located at 1004 U.S. Rt. 9 in the Highway Commercial (HC) zone. A very small portion of the project is in the Residential-Agricultural (RA) zone at the southeast corner of the site.
- 2) The project involves an associated lot line adjustment application to append 5± acres of adjacent land to the east of the existing truck terminal parcel.
- 3) Truck terminals are allowed by Special Use Permit in the HC zone and the existing site obtained a Special Use Permit in June of 2000 (Case No. 7-2000). A revised Special Permit for the use will be necessary.
- 4) A special permit under the Town's Water Quality Control Act (WQCA) will be needed since the project lies in the direct recharge area.
- 5) Under §219-72(19), which contains specific standards for special use permits, shipping and receiving docks, as well as storage and repair of vehicles, must be more than 500' from a residential district. The proposed project will require a variance since the proposed loading docks and the existing maintenance buildings are not 500' from the zoning boundary.
- 6) The applicant is proposing to relocate the 30' high site lighting poles to the eastern portion of the site mounted on 2' high concrete piers. While this is acceptable, any new poles should be a maximum of 22', including the base, in height to reduce noticeable glare from adjoining areas. All fixtures, including any new building mounted lights must be full cut off, down lighting. A lighting plan showing all lighting and lighting levels should be submitted.

- 7) Since site disturbance is greater than one acre, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan will be required.
- 8) The applicant should solicit and submit written comments from emergency services (police, fire, ambulance) regarding the proposed plan.
- 9) Any outdoor storage areas should be identified on the plan and a prominent note should be placed by the plan indicating "No outdoor storage other than shown shall be allowed."
- 10) Approval by RCDOH of the existing water well and septic system for use with the proposed addition is required.
- 11) The applicant should indicate whether they intend to fence the new lot area, and if so, show it on the plan.
- 12) The area and bulk requirements schedule, footnote 2, indicates that a 25' planting strip is required adjacent to the RA zone in the southeast corner of the site.
- 13) Additional vegetative screening is needed along the eastern portion of the proposed site. A double row of evergreens should be considered.
- 14) Grading is shown outside the property boundary in the southeast corner of the site. The grading should be revised or easements obtained for grading as shown.
- 15) The applicant should provide a description of how the existing facility operations and site circulations will be maintained during construction of the addition.

Mr. Johnson asked about the lot line adjustment, what needs to show on the map are notes showing the existing acreage and final acreage for each parcel.

Mr. Veome stated that will be done for the final maps.

Mr. Laberge stated the existing variance is for the distance from the RA zone to the HC boundary of A Duie Pyle. The code requires 500 feet the variance allows the applicant to be 300 feet from the RA zone. So now the proposed build addition will be even closer to the boundary line, requiring them to acquire more land for additional buffer, and hopes the current variance can be adjusted for the new distance.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE ZBA**

### **For the Lot Line Adj 2017-6 A Duie Pyle**

Puccio moved, LaVoie seconded a **"FAVORABLE"** recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

7 Ayes. 0 Noes. Motion carried.

Ayes: Aubin, D'Angelo, Johnson, LaVoie, Mayrer, Puccio, Shaughnessy

Oppose: None

## **MEMBER DISCUSSION**

Spinney at Pond View - Sidewalks, Final Landscaping and Plantings & Road Dedication.

Morgan Ruthman and Ed Finberg owners of the Spinney at Pond View were here to discuss items that are of concern to them and the planning board.

Items discussed were as follows

CO's ( Certificate of Occupancy)

3 buildings have had CO inspections so far and those are bldg's 1, 2, & 3

Landscaping - Buffer planting behind phase 2 along the adjoining properties.

For the buildings will continue in as the buildings become finished.

Bldg. 1 is furthest along and will receive its plantings first and so on.

Sidewalks - Phase 1 sidewalks - there is a general consensus that they are unsightly

Due to the buffer between the road and the sidewalk is not very

Manageable, they tried to do some different thing to get them to have grass and nothing is working, they are looking for some clarifications from the town on what direction they would like Spinney to go on this issue.

Road Dedication - which was discussed with Nadine Fuda and it was determined to wait until the construction operations were completed to have Pond View Drive repaired and turned over then to the town. In the meantime he is requesting a visit by Mr. Holmes the highway superintended, Rich Laberge, Laberge Group and Nadine Fuda to see what needs to be done to the main road.

Cul-de-sac - he has a couple of ideas he went over with Nadine and to make this into a kind

of round a bout with a center island whit maybe a gazebo or a willow tree.

Escrow - looking to set up an escrow for the plantings, roads, sidewalks, and if the money could be released as each building reached completion.

The Board discussed the following topics:

**Landscaping / Escrow** - Mrs. Fuda state in talking with Mr. Zeigler that two buildings were CO ready and wanted to know from the board if the \$10,000 escrow amount for planting was sufficient.

Mr. Ruthman asked what would the criteria going forward with the other buildings CO's Mr. Zeigler finalized building 3 today and building 4 is next week.

Mr. Laberge asked are you putting \$10,000 for 2 cluster of building. The town needs to make sure all the landscaping is put in according to the plans, and he thinks it would be wise to get a dollar amount per CO that could then be released back to you once everything is completed.

Chairwoman Mayrer asked if the cost of plantings for each unit was about \$1500. Each.

Mr. Ruthman stated no, it's about \$2000. per building maybe \$2500. for the 8 unit buildings.

Mr. Laberge agrees that \$2500. per CO on average.

Mr. Ruthman stated they would agree to that amount.

Mr. Laberge stated all the evergreen buffer plantings have to be in place by July 1<sup>st</sup>. and all the landscaping will be in by the time the final CO is granted.

**Road Dedication** - Mr. Laberge it is the town board intent to proceed with the taking ownership of Pond View Drive and the road will have to be brought up to a like new condition. And they will do a walk over to see where the repairs need to be.

Mr. Johnson stated the existing drainage system needs to be inspected.

**Cul-de-sac** - Mr. Puccio stated we need to have signage showing one way around so there is not misunderstanding on which way to proceed around it.

Mr. Ruthman stated that's not a problem.

**Sidewalks** - Chairwoman Mayrer stated that what they have there now is not very good, they are uneven and too narrow. The integrity of the sidewalks and the nature of the residents do not work well together. There are two things to think about, one they can be removed or they need to be repaired and widened.

Mr. Ruthman stated they have done a survey and they have had different results, some residents said to remove them and expand the lawns, some said they don't use the sidewalks because there's a crack in it and he agreed that they need to be fixed and will do so, others said they don't use them they walk in the road.

Chairwoman Mayrer stated that safety is a major issue for the residents and if you are going to move forward with the sidewalks which is preferential that you do a better job of it.

Mr. Ruthman stated they need to look at 2 things, 1 being repairing the condition of the existing walkways and the other doing something about the strip of greenspace between the road and the sidewalks which is a big problem. They have considered paving that strip with asphalt and stamping it to make it look pleasing to the people.

Mr. Laberge stated ask about the better sidewalks they are asphalt correct, or concrete, the detail has a 2% cross sloop. Is the cross sloop on the existing sidewalks.

Mr. LaVoie stated he was on site on Friday and they need a foot or more to level the sidewalks off and cutting into the road.

Phase 2 is under construction and will be completed this summer. Which consist of 70 units and 11 buildings, Gary Ziegler the building inspector for the town has been working closely with them to get this completed. They have a check list from Gary which is what they are following

I'm missing 8 minutes of conversation due to no mics were turned on. Only one I could here was Mr. Ruthman. No way to fill in the blanks

The decision was to install the sidewalks around phase 2 along the outside of the units and around to the side and back of the building to the club house and the pool.

#### **ADJOURN**

Puccio moved, LaVoie seconded that the Planning Board meeting be adjourned. There being no objections, Chairwoman Mayrer adjourned the meeting at 9:50 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Nadine Fuda  
Director of Planning & Zoning