1	STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF RENSSELAER
2	TOWN OF SCHODACK
3	**************
4	PLANNING BOARD MEETING
5	*************
6	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of a portion of the
7	above entitled matter on July 12, 2021 at the
8	Town of Schodack Town Hall, 5 Schuurman Road,
9	Castleton, New York, as it pertains to Scannell
10	Properties, by NANCY L. STRANG, a Shorthand
11	Reporter at 5:14 P.M.
12	
13	BOARD MEMBERS:
14	WAYNE JOHNSON, ACTING CHAIRPERSON
15	JAMES SHAUGHNESSY
16	LAWRENCE D'ANGELO
17	ANDREW AUBIN
18	JOHN LAVOIE
19	STEPHANIE LEONARD
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	CHRISTOPHER LANGLOIS, ESQ., SPECIAL COUNSEL TO
23	THE PLANNING BOARD
24	NADINE FUDA, DIRECTOR, PLANNING & ZONING
25	MELISSA KNIGHTS, PLANNING & ZONING SECRETARY

1	DAWNE KELLY
2	STEVEN BOISVERT, PE, MCFARLAND JOHNSON
3	ADAM FROSINO, PE, MCFARLAND JOHNSON,
4	DANIEL MADRIGAL, SCANNELL PROPERTIES,
5	TERRESA BAKNER, ESQ., WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA
6	MARCI BRUNNER
7	BRIDGET SNOVER, LA GROUP
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	MS. BRUNNER: Thank you I just want to say
2	a couple things tonight. I did email a couple
3	of items to the Town Board after the response
4	to the public comments came in from the May
5	17th meeting. I want to make sure that everyone
6	saw those and that you did get some follow-up
7	responses from the applicant. So, I just wanted
8	to make sure that you saw those.
9	Also, we have noticed that there are
10	also dying trees from the original Amazon. I
11	know we are here for the this new Amazon,
12	but I just wanted to bring up that is still
13	an issue.
14	It was mowed finally - the berm on July
15	6th, but we did wait weeks for that.
16	It was also our understanding that
17	Seasons East is not performing lawn service
18	anymore and the contract is now with a
19	company in Albany and the snow removal
20	service is with a company based out of
21	Chicago. So, I want to ask if this company
22	is aware of the issue with the snow
23	stockpiling from last year and who ensures
24	that they are following snow removal plans
25	submitted by Scannell now that there is a

1	new owner for the Amazon original project
2	Also, local labor was promised before
3	and during construction for the original
4	Amazon. So, I am wondering why the lawncare
5	is not being performed by a Rensselaer
6	County or Schodack company. There are local
7	landscapers that spoke in support of this
8	project, likely, as I believe they would get
9	business which is understandable.
LO	So, I'm hoping that there are
L1	conditions on any type of approvals that car
L2	be put into place for the next project and
13	not rely on what we are being told.
14	Also a follow-up - I wanted to find out
15	exactly where are the workers coming from
16	that are being bused in by the Prestige vans
17	and if they are local. How many jobs are
18	filled? I know the banner has now been
19	removed. I think it was removed a couple of
20	days ago. At the last meeting a comment was
21	made from a resident who observed that the
22	Prestige van - and we assumed that it was
23	taking care of the workers and walkers from
24	the Route 150 busstop to Amazon. This was
25	not correct - our assumption. As it turns

1	out, the bus is picking walkers up from the
2	North Pearl Street location in Albany and
3	bringing them to the warehouse. So, walkers
4	are still present along Route 9 and 20 and
5	that poses a safety risk for both
6	pedestrians and drivers.
7	The new proposal again lays out a
8	sidewalk plan and bus routes just like the
9	original Amazon, but the original Amazon has
10	no sidewalks and no direct bus route, as was
11	promised.
12	On July 7th there was another accident
13	that happened directly in front of Richwood
14	Drive. There have been multiple accidents in
15	this location since the original Amazon
16	opened. We pleaded with both Scannell and
17	the Town to reevaluate this area and to put
18	in place mitigations for residents if DOT
19	will not do so. This included placing
20	warning speed signs, pressure plates or an
21	alternate exit further down and nothing has
22	happened. Were wondering what it's going to
23	take for a change. I know that there are
24	only limited things that you can do, but if
25	you could have another meeting with DOT,

1	reopening this up would be really
2	appreciated.
3	Also, I want to let you know that
4	Scannell did reach out to myself and my
5	husband on July 2nd and they gave us some
6	times to me from July 6th to July 12th. We
7	did coordinate. Due to the special meeting
8	this evening, we met today. I did feel a
9	little rushed and a little bit inadequate
LO	for both sides to prepare, but we do
L1	appreciate them reaching out to us and we
L2	are hoping that if there is anything that
13	comes out tonight in terms of approvals,
14	that the Town and the Board will try to add
15	some type of follow-up with Scannell and
16	residents to listen to concerns, especially
17	in the wake of the recent accident and the
18	fact that we have had five wells in a
19	residential neighborhood that have had water
20	quality issues since the original Amazon
21	opened. Thank you for your time
22	MS. FUDA: I will make one comment, Marci
23	I now have a contact within Amazon. That's how
24	we got the banner down. I have forwarded her
25	information on the snow removal and she is

1	assuring me that she is going to get that to
2	the proper people. So, we do have some contact
3	with some people that actually are operating
4	that facility.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, we have a
6	list of contacts now.
7	MS. FUDA: Yes, I have a contact person.
8	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I gave Rich a
9	list of some things that I would like to see
10	addressed that were part of the plans and the
11	letter. I think maybe he talked to Mr. Boisvert
12	about those.
13	MR. LABERGE: I did. Also, we have a
14	review letter dated the 7th and their final
15	submission that is out there. I did pass on to
16	them five or six comments to Steve Boisevert. I
17	guess I would ask the applicant's team to
18	update us on those issues and hit the
19	highlights for the things in my review comment
20	letter and then I think it would make sense for
21	the Board to see what else they want to know
22	about tonight.
23	MR. BOISVERT: That sounds good. Good
24	evening, Steve Boisvert of MacFarland Johnson.
25	I have here with me Daniel Madrigal with

1	Scannell Properties, Terresa Bakner with
2	Whiteman Osterman and Hanna, Adam Frosino with
3	MacFarland Johnson and Bridget Snover with the
4	LA Group.
5	So, what we submitted since our last
6	meeting are several things; slightly updated
7	site plans to reflect the driveway
8	configuration that was approved by the DOT
9	and updated landscaping plans as were
10	commented on by Stephanie, the Planning
11	Board Member. We have included the
12	groundwater impact letter from our
13	geotechnical engineer, final geotechnical
14	engineer report, final wetlands delineation
15	report and letter from the Schodack Valley
16	Fire President and our responses to Mr.
17	Conlin's public comments.
18	So, with that, I will call up the site
19	plan real quick and we will just share with
20	you the final version of our driveway
21	configuration that was approved by DOT in
22	concept.
23	In general, the employee entrance is
24	combined with the truck entrance and then
25	the truck exit is a right-out only. So, as

1	previously mentioned, the DOT has approved
2	two driveways. The truck entrance is on the
3	westernmost and there will be a delineated
4	divider for employees to enter and exit. The
5	main change here is the exit truck lane is
6	right-out only.
7	Can we call up the landscaping plans?
8	So, we had our landscape architect work
9	directly with Stephanie and my understanding
10	is that today the revised landscaping plans
11	are satisfactory. Many changes were made and
12	final plans were submitted to Stephanie. It
13	is my understanding that we will just
14	follow-up with those final approved plans to
15	the Board first thing tomorrow morning.
16	What we also did - is this shows that
17	we raised 500 feet of noise wall on our
18	south property line to 20 feet high. We
19	located it at the 500 foot section at the
20	closest proximity to the proposed
21	residential concept plan that was presented
22	to the Board at the last meeting. It also is
23	the closest point to the Birchwood
24	Association. It is right in here
25	(Indicating) along that south property line

1	So, the wall is 1,550 feet long which 500
2	feet properly in the third quadrant is 20
3	feet high.
4	We will go back to the DOT plan that
5	shows the Route 150 and 9 and 20
6	intersection.
7	So, just to clarify what the off-site
8	highway improvements are: We are adding a
9	left turn lane along Route 150, 12 foot
10	through lanes, 12-foot shoulders on either
11	side and then on the eastern side of Route
12	150 we are calling for a 5-foot strip of
13	either gas or gravel and then a 5-foot strip
14	of asphalt sidewalk that extends to the
15	intersection of Route 150 and 9 and 20. We
16	are extending pedestrian crosswalks crossing
17	Route 9 and 20 and then also crossing Route
18	150.
19	MR. AUBIN: Is that a raised sidewalk
20	the painted sidewalk?
21	MR. BOISVERT: Crossing 150? No.
22	MR. AUBIN: No, along 150.
23	MR. BOISVERT: When you say raised
24	MR. AUBIN: There will be a curb -
25	MR. BOISVERT: No, we are anticipating to

1	maintain drainage and everything with
2	sheet-flow off the shoulder, through the 5-foot
3	grass strip and then over across the sidewalk
4	into the roadside ditch which is roughly
5	between the sidewalk in the property line.
6	MR. AUBIN: So, the sidewalk is going to
7	be an extension of the shoulder, essentially.
8	MR. BOISVERT: Essentially, yes, but there
9	is a 5-foot strip of either gravel or grass,
10	depending upon what the DOT will approve
11	between the shoulder.
12	MR. AUBIN: Okay, I'm sorry, yes.
13	MR. BOISVERT: There are left turn signal
14	heads to be added on the span wire. We are
15	currently conducting that analysis to ensure
16	that the additional signal heads can
17	structurally stay on the span wire. If they are
18	not capable of being attached to the span wire,
19	the DOT said that we would have to convert that
20	to mast arms. Either way, that left turn signal
21	heads and traffic light will be added.
22	We have submitted the updated
23	geotechnical report and one of their
24	recommendations is where there were some man
25	made fill roughly in the center of the site

1	Those fills will be removed and replaced
2	with structural compacted fill. We have
3	added a note to the plans and we will also
4	identify roughly where those man-made fills
5	occurred on the property.
6	We have also updated the visuals to
7	reflect the updated noise wall and we also
8	added a 10 foot high fence along the
9	retaining wall on the west side of the
10	property. So, along this retaining wall
11	there was a chain-link fence for security
12	purposes. We switched out that chain-link
13	fence to an 8 foot solid white vinyl fence
14	to protect the visual view from our
15	neighbor, Mr. Conlin.
16	These are the updated views. So, this
17	is the view from the combined truck entrance
18	and employee entrance and exit. You can see
19	we added some additional landscaping from
20	that view. This is Route 150 in the
21	foreground (Indicating). This is the
22	easternmost truck exit driveway. You can see
23	it is a right-out only.
24	We have extensive berming to block the
25	view from Route 150. This view again is also

1	from Route 150 near the intersection of 9
2	and 20. We increased the berming from this
3	vantage point. We have relocated the storm
4	water ponds that are out front along Route
5	150 to the rear of the building.
6	MR. AUBIN: Steve, the light poles
7	depicted in those renderings - are those at the
8	lower heights?
9	MR. BOISVERT: That's correct. The light
10	poles in this rendering in the employee lot is
11	25 feet. We are aware that the Town Engineer
12	made some comments to lower all of the light
13	poles. I thought we did lower those.
14	MR. FROSINO: We did lower those I'm just
15	not sure if the visuals are reflecting that.
16	MR. AUBIN: It appears as if they similar
17	to the others.
18	MR. BOISVERT: Okay, so the light poles
19	have been lowered in the employee lot 225 feet.
20	They are 30 feet in the truck parking lot and
21	in the rear and all the lights on the building
22	will be lowered to 25 feet and that is a recent
23	comment that these renderings do not reflect.
24	MR. AUBIN: I just wanted to make that
25	clear because looking at that rendering, it

1	didn't appear that they were lowered and I knew
2	you guys did that. I just wanted to make it
3	clear that what we are seeing and for the
4	record - those are shown higher than what you
5	are actually going to do.
6	MR. BOISVERT: We will correct that.
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Steve, can
8	you repeat what he is saying? For the record
9	because that's not getting to where anybody can
10	really hear what he's saying.
11	MR. BOISVERT: So, the light poles in
12	these visuals have not been lowered to the
13	correct height of 25 feet in the employee
14	parking lot, 30 feet in the truck parking lot
15	and then the building lighting also will be
16	lowered to 25 feet. So, these visuals do not
17	reflect that has been corrected with regard to
18	initial landscaping and berming that the
19	grading plan reflects.
20	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, thank
21	you.
22	MR. BOISVERT: We will provide updated
23	visuals this week.
24	So, this is view number 10. Where the
25	cursor is the top of the wall, you can see

1	roughly where the cursor is - is where the
2	20 foot high extension has been added and
3	then show that it goes right to there
4	(Indicating). So, that's the top of the
5	wall.
6	Through the vegetation, that's the top
7	of the building, correct?
8	MR. FROSINO: Correct P
9	MR. BOISVERT: The top of the wall at 20
10	feet is right there (Indicating).
11	MR. LABERGE: Just to be clear, is there
12	any of the building visible above the top of
13	the wall in that shot?
14	MR. BOISVERT: In this view?
15	MR. LABERGE: Yes.
16	MR. BOISVERT: To the vegetation - it's
17	hard to see because of the vegetation but yes,
18	the top of the building does extend above the
19	wall.
20	MR. LABERGE: Okay, thank you.
21	MR. BOISVERT: So, as Mrs. Bruner
22	mentioned, we did meet with them today and
23	talked about their concerns. I shared with them
24	the information that I shared with you - that
25	we would be talking about this tonight.

1	One other item that was brought up is
2	hours of operation. We are currently
3	proposing 6:00 AM to 8:00 PM. This is for
4	several reasons. There is a labor shortage
5	throughout the construction industry and in
6	order to maintain the workforce, the longer
7	hours actually help when you can guarantee
8	over-time and additional pay in that regard.
9	Typically on the first hour of work somebody
10	shows up which is prep time and they show up
11	a few minutes before they are supposed to
12	start and then the first hour is prepping
13	and getting the equipment ready and
14	preparing for what they need to do for the
15	day. The same thing at the end of the day -
16	they usually shut down about an hour before
17	they quit and leave and they also unpack
18	their equipment and pack up and what have
19	you. Even though there are the hours of 6:00
20	AM to 8:00 PM, production really starts from
21	7:00 AM to 7:00 PM, in reality.
22	In regard to working on Saturdays,
23	that's a makeup day for any delays due to
24	weather. Also, any delays due to slow
25	production. So, they really would like to

1	work on Saturdays, as well.
2	The one commitment that we can make -
3	which is going to be a note on the drawings
4	is that no work on any federal holiday.
5	We also need to have the ability to
6	continue to work beyond those hours during a
7	continuous concrete pour. That is critical
8	just for the structural integrity of
9	concrete. We can't just cut that off at any
10	time during the day. So, during continuous
11	concrete pouring we would request that we
12	would be able to work until that poor is
13	completed.
14	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: The
15	continuous concrete pour - you are talking
16	inside for floors, or are there other pours
17	that you are anticipating?
18	MR. BOISVERT: There are a couple of
19	concrete pads outside near the guard houses.
20	The main concrete pour is the floor slab, yes.
21	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, the walls
22	and roof will be on at that time. You're
23	talking about working from the I90 side and not
24	from the Richarwood Drive side basically to
25	access the building to make those pours or

1	would you have to be on both sides - depending
2	on the floor.
3	MR. BOISVERT: That is correct. It
4	probably would not be both sides. They wouldn't
5	do that all on one access point here. They
6	would need to do it from both sides.
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And we
8	haven't really come up with a schedule for the
9	noise wall. Will the noise while be going early
LO	so that we're not doing all of this
L1	construction without the noise wall?
L2	MR. BOISVERT: Yes, correct. We can commit
13	that the noise wall will be constructed as
L 4	early as possible. Mass grading has to occur
15	first to bring the site between the cuts and
16	the cells and also the foundation wall has to
17	be installed and then from there we can erect
18	the noise wall. That is a note on the drawings
19	that we will commit to that it will be as early
20	on in the process as possible.
21	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Did we come
22	up with an agreement on the color and the
23	texture?
24	MR. BOISVERT: Right now we are proposing
0.5	the event same golor and texture as the first

1	Amazon project. We are open to discussing the
2	color, but right now we are proposing the exact
3	same wall as the first Amazon project.
4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: That's not
5	the first wall.
6	MR. MADRIGAL: It is lighter than that.
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Yes, it is
8	like bright white.
9	MS. FUDA: It is the same as the other
10	one.
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Is this an
12	actual picture of it?
13	MR. MADRIGAL: This is an example but it
14	is the exact same thing but that is not the
15	correct color represented there:
16	MS. LEONARD: My two cents is the I like
17	it better there than what is currently up - if
18	you wanted my two cents.
19	MR. MADRIGAL: Yes, we do.
20	MS. FUDA: The one we have up is white.
21	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: When I was
22	originally asked about the noise wall on the
23	first project, I suggested a pebble sandstone
24	tan and we ended up with bright white

MS. FUDA: It's creamy.

1	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, if you
2	look at it with the sun shining on it, it's
3	pretty bright. Let's shoot for something that
4	is tan or earth tone. I don't know if the
5	Ridgewood people have a point they would like
6	to make.
7	Marcy, is that something - is that
8	color more agreeable?
9	MS. BRUNNER: Yes, something more earthy
10	tone.
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, so the
12	Ridgewood Drive people will be looking for an
13	earth tone color.
14	MR. BOISVERT: Same texture, just earth
15	tone
16	MS. FUDA: It definitely is white.
17	MR. FROSINO: On the computer it looks
18	white. I think it is partially due to the
19	screen. We can round some things out.
20	MS. FUDA: This one shows a creamy white.
21	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: We need to go
22	for something darker.
23	MR. BOISVERT: Yes, we will go earth tone
24	or tannish - Brown.
25	MS. LEONARD: I've a question. I am unsure

1	when the construction would start coming, but
2	into daylight hours here in the Northeast you
3	don't have from 6:00 AM until 8 PM. So, the sun
4	rises may be at 7:15 or 7:30 and by 5:30 you
5	are dark. So, during construction what do you
6	do for lighting if you're going to work until
7	8:00 PM?
8	MR. BOISVERT: So, any outdoor
9	construction probably would not be operating
10	during the dark. We don't plan on lighting the
11	site for outside activities. We are hoping all
12	outside activities will occur depending upon
13	the time of the year, dusk to dawn. Inside
14	though we do need to -
15	MS. LEONARD: I didn't know if additional
16	lighting would be brought in for outside
17	MR. BOISVERT: No.
18	MR. AUBIN: Let's be clear, I hear a lot
19	of hopes and maybes. Are we going to have light
20	kits, or are we not going to have light kits
21	for exterior work?
22	MR. BOISVERT: It is not intended.
23	MR. AUBIN: Okay, so we will have that as
24	a no on the drawing. No horrible light kits
25	will be used for construction purposes.

1	MR. BOISVERT: For exterior construction.
2	MR. AUBIN: For exterior construction.
3	MS. LEONARD: When we go exterior
4	construction, we go dusk to dawn, or dawn to
5	dusk; whatever you want to call it.
6	MR. BOISVERT: I'm sorry?
7	MS. LEONARD: For exterior construction
8	during the winter months, should that happen
9	MR. BOISVERT: It will be dusk to dawn,
10	sure.
11	MS. LEONARD: Which would severely reduce
12	your working daytime hours.
13	MR. BOISVERT: For outside activities,
14	sure.
15	MR. AUBIN: I'm mostly concerned with just
16	the outside.
17	MR. LABERGE: As long as we are clarifying
18	things, in terms of the wall - I heard you
19	mention you have to do your mass grading and
20	you have to get your foundation in. How do we
21	tie it so to make sure that it naturally starts
22	after the foundation and what you think the
23	duration of the wall of construction would be?
24	I'm sorry, there are three parts to
25	this question.

1,	The third part being - where would it
2	start and what's the most crucial place to
3	start the wall? I don't know. I didn't
4	really follow the wall construction sequence
5	the last time, but I don't know if they can
6	start in the middle where might be more
7	crucial. Your thoughts are potential
8	commitments on that:
9	MR. BOISVERT: So, we can commit to
10	starting the wall as soon we can. Obviously
11	mass grading has to occur. The grading at the
12	base has to occur. We have to have the
13	foundation of the wall in. We can certainly put
14	a note that once the foundation of the wall has
15	started, continuous construction of the wall
16	has to occur. We can't sit down for any reason.
17	We can add that note. Once we start it, we have
18	to continually construct it until it's
19	finished. In my professional opinion, it's
20	about a four-month construction - three or four
21	months. Also, my opinion is they will probably
22	start at one end and go to the other because
23	each one of those kingpins which are the I-beam
24	that the panel slides in will have to be
25	continuous. It's all continuous construction

1	starting in the center and going to either
2	side, but that's my initial reaction.
3	MR. LABERGE: The cure time for the
4	footing - it's about a seven-day cure?
5	MR. BOISVERT: Yes.
6	MR. LABERGE: So, that will be the first
7	phase.
8	MR. BOISVERT: Yes.
9	MR. LABERGE: What was your question?
LO	MS. FUDA: Once they pour the foundation,
L1	I don't want to be getting phone calls - when
L 2	is the wall going up? You have to figure about
L3	a week after the foundation is done that the
14	wall can start construction.
15	MR. BOISVERT: That is correct.
16	MR. LABERGE: You will have to sequence
17	that
18	MR. BOISVERT: We will abide by all
19	construction protocol and structural integrity
20	of the materials.
21	MR. LABERGE: Okay, that's fine. I think
22	those types of notes on the plan would be good
23	for the Town to be able to indicate that you
24	are out of sequence at some point.
25	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: On the sequencing or

1	phasing plan would be optimal. I know we're	
2	getting into the realm of contractor means and	
3	methods and understand why you can't answer	
4	directly. How is this project bid? What is the	
5	procurement process for this? Is it design	
6	build? Is it bid build?	
7	MR. BOISVERT: There are a handful of	
8	approved contractors that Scanneell has	
9	shortlisted and they are contractors that have	
10	built these types of facilities across the	
11	country. They went out to bid to those handful	
12	of contractors, evaluated the bids and will	
13	make their selection based on that process. It	
14	is not an open bid.	
15	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Maybe there is some	
16	flexibility to try to enforce some of these	
17	notes a little bit more if it's somewhat	
18	controlled.	
19	MR. BOISVERT: Oh yes, definitely.	
20	Scannell also has their in-house construction	
21	manager that will oversee the contractor. So,	
22	Scannell will have their own staff on board	
23	overseeing the construction.	
24	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.	
25	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Did you come	

1	up with a design that gives you access to the
2	back of the wall for doing landscaping?
3	MR. BOISVERT: For maintenance purposes,
4	do you mean? Yes.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, for
6	planting purposes. I don't know if you're going
7	to plant your trees before you put up the wall.
8	MR. BOISVERT: No, we would not do that.
9	We anticipate access being able to be made on
10	both ends.
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You're
12	planning your tree planting to allow for a road
13	for some sort of small equipment to drive down
14	between the property line and the wall?
15	MR. BOISVERT: Yes. So, you can see the
16	wall starts here and the property line is here
17	(Indicating) and it will create an access road
18	or pathway if you will for that entering.
19	Here's the property line here (Indicating). It
20	does get tight in here, but then it also opens
21	up as you continue south, as far as the
22	distance between them. The wall ends here
23	(Indicating).
24	MR. AUBIN: So, looking at PDFs
25	electronically it's hard to tell exactly

1.	dimension wise, but when you say it's tight,
2	how close is it between the property line and
3	the edge of the sound wall?
4	MR. BOISVERT: At its closest point?
5	MR. AUBIN: At its closest point.
6	MR. BOISVERT: 10 feet.
7	MR. AUBIN: So, according to the
8	landscaping plan, you plan on planting several
9	evergreens within that 10 feet and some of
10	those are species that are ultimately going to
11	grow to 40, 50, 60 feet. Assuming that the root
12	ball of those is going to probably be something
13	like a 10-foot radius, based on a 60-foot tree,
14	that means the root ball is beyond the wall.
15	So, you're planting this tree on the property
16	line, or are you planting the tree within your
17	10 feet -
18	MR. BOISVERT: It would be within the 10
19	feet of our property line.
20	MR. AUBIN: Okay, so the root ball is
21	going to grow beyond your wall.
22	MR. BOISVERT: Potentially.
23	MR. AUBIN: The trees are closer to the
24	wall than 10 feet, the root ball is likely

going to get bigger than 10 feet in radius - 20

1	feet in diameter based on a tree canopy of an
2	Eastern Hemlock or some of these other spruces.
3	So, to me it's sort of like your setting these
4	trees up to not be able to really be mature.
5	They're probably going to prematurely die based
6	on the fact that they are right up against this
7	wall. I think there still needs to be space
8	there, or possibly if there's any way you can
9	gain a little bit more land in order to have
10	more space between that sound wall. Having 10
11	feet to the property line -
12	MR. BOISVERT: A landscape strip of 10
13	feet is quite common and it's only for a short
14	period of distance over that entire 1,500-foot
15	wall.
16	MR. AUBIN: How far is that?
17	MR. BOISVERT: Where it's only 10 feet
18	wide?
19	MR. AUBIN: Yes.
20	MR. BOISVERT: We'll have to get back to
21	you on that. I personally don't have an issue
22	with a 10-foot landscape strip. That's pretty
23	common.
24	MR. AUBIN: If it were for just shrubs or

ornamental trees, I would say for sure.

1	MR. BOISVERT: We can review the species
2	because the wall is only 20 feet. If these
3	trees go 40, 50, 60 or whatever, we will spec
4	on something that won't go that high because
5	the intent is to provide screening for the
6	wall.
7	MS. LEONARD: I think it would be worth
8	having a discussion of knowing the entire
9	length of the wall - she brought up a very good
10	point that I didn't think about. Maybe in the
11	tightest of areas, maybe we omit some trees but
12	going one step further is as these trees start
13	to grow, you are going to be maxed out on space
14	and then these trees are going to reach over
15	into other people's property which in any other
16	instance in a neighborhood you own from the
17	ground straight up so you have every right to
18	cut the tree if they felt as though it was
19	invading on their space. However, it most
20	likely will be notable and worth a conversation
21	if the landscaper, or Scannell or Amazon is
22	spending all of this money - 353 evergreens was
23	my latest count that we are setting them up for
24	success. The width distance between the wall
25	and the property line will be important not

1	only for number one, planting, but number two,
2	I would assume the landscape contractor is
3	going to take over and is going to at some
4	point whether it's once a year or twice a year
5	or three times a year maintain down in this
6	area. So, you don't want them navigating on
7	other people's property in the tightest of the
8	spaces either, right.
9	MR. BOISVERT: Sure. We will show a
10	maintenance path, if you will, on the other
11	side of the wall and we can show a blow-up
12	section of where the wall and the property line
13	are its closest and show you how everything can
14	fit. Trimming up the number of plantings in
15	that 10-foot section - we can certainly do
16	that. As I say, we can think of a different
17	tree that would survive because we only need to
18	go to 20 feet.
19	MR. AUBIN: Yes, I think having a section
20	- because there's also the retaining wall
21	there. So, there's quite a bit of an elevation
22	change from the parking lot where you have the
23	retaining wall and then you've got the sound
24	wall and then the plantings on the other sisde.
25	So, it would be interesting to see that in sort

1	of in a section view at the most extreme sort
2	of closest point of the property line to the
3	sound wall and the closest point between the
4	sound wall and the retaining wall.
5	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Also, as we were
6	discussing earlier, how this sound wall
7	foundation interacts with the retaining wall
8	and its foundation. We might want to
9	incorporate that into a section, too.
10	MR. BOISVERT: There would be two separate
11	structurally independent walls. We don't want
12	to connect the two.
13	MR. AUBIN: Understood but, it's a matter
14	of we would like to see what the thoughts are
15	on how they are interacting.
16	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Because the lateral load
17	on that wall is going to force the retaining
18	wall to be rotating. The retaining wall is
19	going to have to be pretty stiff.
20	MR. BOISVERT: Or we extend the foundation
21	like the noise wall down past 🥌
22	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay.
23	MS. LEONARD: So, I will keep going. I had
24	a really good conversation today with Matt from
25	the architectural group. We spoke for maybe

1	about a half-hour. We went to the plans I was
2	very receptive and pleased to see the change of
3	plans.
4	I think that he actually went to the
5	FedEx location that I spoke of last meeting.
6	He looked around. He incorporated many of
7	the plans that you see there into the new
8	plans for this. There are several items
9	not several, but there are enough items on
10	the new list where they could be difficult
11	to get as far as availability goes. Not only
12	has Covid affected everything else, but they
13	have also affected plants, believe it or
14	not. Matt and I talked about I'm not sure
15	because I'm fairly new to this Board - how
16	this is supposed to work. Is there any way
17	from the Board to the architectural group to
18	the landscaper - is there anywhere that a
19	clause could be put in that if you can't get
20	a specific plant, then it is okay to go off
21	the map?
22	MR. LABERGE: I would just suggest that on
23	the planting schedule that we have an alternate
24	for nonavailability. If there is a preferred
25	plant, that's the primary and then list one and

Т	pernaps even a second alternate right on the
2	planting schedule. Let's say we can only get
3	100 of a species and we may still need 200 of a
4	different species. That would be the way I
5	would recommend the applicant handle your
6	comment.
7	MR. BOISVERT: That's fine.
8	MS. LEONARD: Specifically, I came up with
9	387 Happy Return Daylilies. That's a lot of one
10	plant. Again, having alternatives - and I will
11	go back and work with Matt and if Matt is not
12	available I can work with Bridget on some
13	alternatives that in case of this, we can go to
14	that.
15	MR. BOISVERT: That's just a note on the
16	plans. That's correct.
17	MS. LEONARD: I would also like to take
18	this just one step further. I too am very
19	curious as to some of the things that Marci
20	Bruner has brought up this evening. It has been
21	on my list to vocalize. So, for me I was not on
22	the Planning Board when the first Amazon came
23	through. I was very loud in the community - not
24	only on my Facebook posts, but in person here
25	in support of having Amazon in our community,

1	but also using local contractors. Being in the
2	industry that I am in, I was very happy to know
3	that a landscaper was chosen - very locally
4	within one mile of Amazon - to have the
5	contract of initial install. The current
6	contractor, who I happen to be friends with,
7	had a place in Rensselaer County but is an
8	Albany County business and I was wondering why
9	we didn't keep the business in Rensselaer
10	County, number one. Number two, it became
11	apparent to me that he is a subcontractor to
12	the national contract is out of Illinois. As a
13	very big supporter of the first Amazon to use
14	local businesses - local small business, I was
15	very surprised to see that it wasn't kept in
16	the neighborhood. So, I am wondering for this
17	project what the commitment level would be to
18	keep things local.
19	MR. BOISVERT: Well, that is the preferred
20	alternative, for sure. There could be several
21	reasons why a local may not be selected - not
22	to mention price, but schedule availability
23	There are a lot of things that go into a
24	contractor selection. I know that is Scannell's
25	first priority. Their general contractor has to

1	abide by that.
2	As I mentioned earlier, Scannell will
3	have a construction manager on site from the
4	start. Actually there is a construction
5	manager already engaged on this project.
6	MS. LEONARD: However, the landscape
7	contract is out of a national organization in
8	Illinois. So, the contractor that is used
9	locally - although it is a local business, it
10	is smoke and mirrors.
11	MR. BOISVERT: Well, the local contractor
12	that you are saying that actually did the work
13	was local.
14	MS. LEONARD: For the initial install. The
15	maintenance and the snowplowing is with A&J out
16	of Albany and is paid by a national contractor
17	in Illinois. Not directly from Amazon and not
18	directly from Scannell. So, it is a
19	subcontractor. So, to me, and it may be the way
20	that Amazon does their business, maybe they are
21	so large that they have a national contractor
22	for many different things but I am wondering
23	because I did stand up and I did make it very
24	clear of how wonderful this would be for our
25	school district and our local contractors and

1	our small businesses. Is this typical of how
2	it's going to be with this project?
3	MR. BOISVERT: If I just clarify for just
4	a moment - are you referring to a construction,
5	or property maintenance after it's built?
6	MS. LEONARD: Both.
7	MR. BOISVERT: So, for construction =
8	MS. LEONARD: The initial was local. Joe
9	McGrath had the contract. Wonderful. He was a
10	local guy that was in Schodack. He has a great
11	business. Joe McGrath no longer has the
12	contract. The contract is a three-year
13	contract, if I'm correct -
14	MR. BOISVERT: For maintenance.
15	MS. LEONARD: A&J which is through the
16	company in Illinois. So, is that typically how
17	it is done - that this home base, whoever may
18	be I don't know who it is in Illinois - what
19	will they be subcontracting out the maintenance
20	part of this, or the new landscaping install of
21	this, or is it really going to be given to
22	local businesses and not as a subcontractor per
23	se? Will someone directly be working with
24	Scannell, or will somebody directly be working
25	and be paid through Amazon?

1	MR. BOISVERT: For the property
2	maintenance piece of it, no. That is all due to
3	the lease between Scannell and Amazon. That's
4	an Amazon responsibility. But construction,
5	yes.
6	MS. LEONARD: Okay, so there is two
7	separate animals.
8	MR. BOISVERT: That is correct. Oh, yes.
9	MS. LEONARD: So, that interests me that
10	Marci brought it up tonight. I went off on
11	that.
12	The next thing is I actually drove
13	through Amazon for the very first time
14	today. I am trying to get up to speed on all
15	of this. This is a lot for me. This is a
16	very big project for me, being new on the
17	Board.
18	The landscaping - first the lawn is
19	extremely high. It has been very rainy, so I
20	will let that pass.
21	The second thing is: There are several
22	dead plants along the building and within
23	the property line with some of the trees.
24	What is the remedy for that? Is that the
25	landscaper? Is he currently doing the

1	maintenance? If you go back on the initial
2	install I don't know how long the
3	warranty period is, but I'm just curious
4	because it's a beautiful building but the
5	landscaping is subpar right now.
6	MR. BOISVERT: So, there is a guarantee
7	warranty on the landscape. That responsibility
8	- in my understanding that falls on Amazon.
9	There is a contact now that Nadine has at
10	Amazon to correct those issues.
11	MS. LEONARD: So, outside the warrantee.
12	Which typically is one year -
13	MR. BOISVERT: No, these are longer. We
14	went probably two years on the first one.
15	MR. LABERGE: If I could interject. It was
16	part of the Planning Board approval that the
17	applicant on the previous project and one of
18	our comments on this project is that there be a
19	five-year landscaping bond basically with money
20	put up to replace things that die.
21	I guess one of the things I heard
22	Stephanie say was that - I think you're
23	asking what the process is. One of the
24	things we might also want to specify is the
25	frequency as to the replacement. Obviously

1	there are good planting seasons at least
2	twice a year, if they're not irrigated. That
3	might be something to discuss on this
4	project. We can just review that with the
5	applicant, or whoever might be - the
6	developer. The responsible party, we will
7	call it. We will do that at the appropriate
8	times in preparation so we can catch those
9	good planting seasons. Maybe at the end of
10	March or April through May - type of
11	transition and then again in August or
12	September for the fall planting. That might
13	just be a good thing to condition this on
14	because I think that's one of the issues is
15	that when things do die it makes no sense to
16	replace an evergreen in July because it
17	probably will die again. So, the first part
18	of that is: Is there any process that
19	Scannell is using or Amazon is currently
20	using at ALB1 that they would propose
21	similar to what I just said for this
22	project?
23	MR. BOISVERT: We will have to get back to
24	you on that.
25	MR. LABERGE: Okay otherwise, I would just

1	default to something like I just said. The Town
2	is holding funds in terms of an escrow account
3	to make sure that gets done. That is a last
4	resort. In other words, we are going to hold
5	those funds for the entire five years but still
6	the applicant's responsibility is to replace
7	them. If push comes to shove and they don't, we
8	can access that money and do that.
9	MS. BAKNER: Let us propose a schedule for
10	inspection on an annual basis and for
11	replanting and then you'll know by such and
12	such a date it will be done.
13	MR. LABERGE: Twice a year.
14	Would you agree, Stephanie?
15	MS. LEONARD: Yes, I think that's
16	acceptable.
17	MR. LABERGE: Okay.
18	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Then, there
19	should be some way of following up beyond the
20	five years on plantings that maybe go in on the
21	third year or the fourth year. If you end
22	everything right at the fifth year, you've
23	still got a lot of time for the plants to die.
24	You really want to have the entire landscape
25	plan established and growing at the end of the

1	five years when you give up the bond. I don't
2	know how we can extend it to cover that, but
3	let's not have the dead trees replaced at the
4	end of the fourth year and then have them die
5	again and not have any way of getting them
6	replanted or replaced.
7	MR. BOISVERT: For this application, as
8	suggested, we will put a note on the drawings
9	that there will be - a biannual inspection and
10	then appropriate replacement at the appropriat
11	time of year to maintain survival. We can just
12	probably say no planting in July and August.
13	MS. LEONARD: Believe it or not, that's
14	one of the best times to plant but I think
15	maybe May or September. Obviously, you do lose
16	things mid-summer if there is no irrigation,
17	but maybe you can catch the things that maybe
18	didn't make it through the winter and then
19	September you can catch the things that didn't
20	make it through a hot dry summer; whatever the
21	cases. I think that would be a safe assumption
22	MR. BOISVERT: We will add a couple notes
23	to the drawings.
24	MS. LEONARD: Thank you.
25	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Do vou have

1	any more?
2	MR. BOISVERT: That's all I had.
3	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I'm not
4	exactly sure what we are supposed to be doing
5	tonight, but it seems like we are trying to get
6	everything in line so we can do approvals in
7	the near future.
8	It seems like DOT - do we know where we
9	stand on signals? One thing I didn't mention
10	on signals which I think I told Rich was:
11	Are we putting in pedestrian buttons that
12	the crosswalks?
13	MR. BOISVERT: Yes, we are. It's a
14	signalized pedestrian crossing.
15	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, DOT is
16	going to have to provide us with approvals for
17	the driveways, for the widening for the
18	reconstruction and also for the work on the
19	signals.
20	MR. BOISVERT: So, we have to go through a
21	highway work permit for the DOT which is a
22	lengthy process. What we have from DOT is a
23	SEQRA approval. They have agreed that our
24	traffic study is acceptable and they have also
25	agreed in concept that the mitigation as well

1	as a scenic concept design of the two
2	driveways, the left turn lane that we are
3	adding on route they have seen the plans
4	that you have that also shows in concept the
5	signals, the left turn lanes, the pedestrians
6	crossings. So, they have approvals in an email
7	to us.
8	The next step is actually - we need
9	site plan approval before we can go and fill
10	out a highway work permit because we won't
11	get a highway work permit without site plan
12	approval. So, we are as far along as we can
13	go with DOT at this point. All the details
14	that you are referring to will be designed
15	and coordinated with DOT before they issue a
16	permit. We have their SEQRA approval for
17	this Board.
18	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, and
19	then it was the wetland delineation review - is
20	there something that they need to approve
21	before we can -
22	MR. BOISVERT: So, we did a follow-up
23	meeting with the Corps and the Army Corps of
24	Engineers agreed with our wetland delineation
25	and also agreed with the isolated wetlands that

are on site. So, we have and are currently in 1 the process of just confirming that in writing 2 but we have a meeting that occurred with our 3 wetland specialist. 4 ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: And we can 5 proceed with that. 6 MR. LABERGE: On this site I have been through it three other times, so I have seen 8 Army Corps letters signing off with a wetland 9 issues and they have not changed. I am 10 comfortable with it, yes. 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, and 12 then we have Resolutions. It seems that Rich 13 mentioned that it might be difficult to get all 14 the Resolutions approved or ready for approval. 15 Are we proceeding on the plan of doing actual 16 approvals next week, or are we waiting for 17 Resolutions before we can go to that step? 18 MR. LABERGE: In terms of timing, there 19 would be a lot of drafting to do this week to 20 do things. We have some information to get back 21 in from the applicant about the things we 22 talked about tonight. I guess it would be my 23 preference, but I won't speak for Chris but we 24 would have to have the actual drafts available

25

Τ	for the Board's review and then to consider
2	that, you have those available. I'm not going
3	to say if it can all be done or not. I am
4	available this week to work my part of the job
5	working with Chris and such. It might be
6	feasible. If the Board is comfortable with
7	everything we have discussed, and doesn't see
8	any issues from either a SEQRA perspective or a
9	site plan perspective, we can certainly try and
10	have everything done.
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, Chris,
12	what do you have to say about that?
13	MR. LANGLOIS: For those members who were
14	involved in the 2018 application for the
15	original Amazon project, you might remember
16	that there were three documents that the
17	Planning Board approved. One was under SEQRA.
18	It was a determination of significance for that
19	project. It was an neg dec. It was about a 30
20	page single-spaced document if I remember and
21	then there was an individual site plan approval
22	that was issued and then a special permit under
23	the Water Quality Control Law. So, all three of
24	those written documents need to be prepared
25	based on the information that has been

1	submitted and reviewed. Your next Planning
2	Board meeting is Monday the 19th, I think,
3	right? That is a week from tonight?
4	MS. FUDA: That is a week from tonight.
5	MR. LANGLOIS: We can certainly work on
6	preparing those and again, it's going to depend
7	on two things: Will the documents be ready in
8	final form for Planning Board Member review and
9	how much time do the Planning Board Members
10	want to take reviewing those before you act on
11	them? Again, as Rich said we will make
12	ourselves available this week to work to try to
13	get those documents ready. If the Planning
14	Board is looking to issue approvals on Monday,
15	we will do everything we can to make that
16	happen without any guarantees. If the Planning
17	Board Members feel they may not be ready next
18	Monday, then we would be looking toward the
19	first meeting in August.
20	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: I'm not
21	exactly sure how much we are going to be able
22	as a Board - we are going to be reviewing the
23	Resolutions. I go through them and I can pick
24	out minor things, but I'm not really there to
25	come up with anything new in a Resolution. So,

1	if the attorney for the applicant and our
2	attorney can prepare these documents and have
3	them ready for us for our packet on say
4	Thursday afternoon or Friday morning -
5	MS. FUDA: This Thursday, the 15th?
6	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Well, it's
7	tot to be before the weekend. You can't give it
8	to us on Monday afternoon.
9	MR. LABERGE: No, that's a little too much
10	for me. It would be hard to read Monday
11	afternoon. I think we would have to have things
12	done by Friday if the Board is going to
13	consider any action on Monday.
14	MS. FUDA: Then, you have August 2nd which
15	is the next meeting. We've a meeting on the
16	19th and then August 2nd.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, what's
18	the feeling of the Members? Would you prefer to
19	wait until August 2nd?
20	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Yes, I think you're
21	trying to cram in too much in less than a
22	week's time now, essentially.
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: You're
24	talking about four days.
25	MR. BOISVERT: From the applicant's

1	perspective, first of all I thank you very much
2	for coming out on a special night tonight. If
3	at all possible, if we could work diligently
4	this week to try to get you what you need when
5	you need it in order to be prepared for a
6	potential approval next week, we greatly
7	appreciate it. Amazon is anxious to get going.
8	We will work diligently. We will do whatever we
9	need to do to make you satisfied.
LO	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, is this
L1	going to be basically rehash of the first
L2	Amazon for the Resolutions - 90% of what goes
L3	into the Resolutions is the same as the first
14	Amazon project and they're just doing an
15	update?
16	MS. FUDA: That's a question for Chris.
17	MR. LANGLOIS: Let me maybe weigh into
18	that question this way. The projects are
19	similar, but they're different. It's not going
20	to surprise me if a lot of the format and
21	overall content are similar because they are
22	similar applications for similar type of use,
23	but the details are going to be different.
24	For example, the traffic impact
25	analysis and layouts are different for this

Τ	application than they were three years ago.
2	The specific features for this project in
3	terms of the sound wall and its length and
4	its height - there are differences and we've
5	got a lot of information from the applicant.
6	We're not starting from scratch. There is
7	some precedent for this type of a project in
8	front of the Planning Board, but at the same
9	time it has to be uniquely tailored to this
10	particular project. The answer is yes and
11	no.
12	MR. BOISVERT: I also just want to remind
13	you that this particular property had two
14	previous sales distribution centers approved
15	for this exact property. So, to the attorneys
16	point, there are previous Resolutions for this
17	property for sales distribution centers. Again,
18	it has to be tailored to the specific project.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, could
20	there be some sort of a checklist that could be
21	provided to the Planning Moard Members of which
22	parts of these Resolutions they should be maybe
23	looking at a little more closely and not have
24	to go through the entire
25	MR. LABERGE: I will volunteer to

highlight them - to make color copies for you. 1 Certainly there are areas as Chris said - all 2 of our SEORA documents and site plan decisions 3 and water quality control permits have kind of followed a format here. There's a lot of information, but I guess really the important part of the answer is in some of the - there are key paragraphs. I jokingly said that I 8 would highlight it, but I certainly would mark 9 up a copy if the Board - if nothing else, they 10 would be Rich's highlights. The whole document 11 is important. 12 MR. LANGLOIS: I was going to say, Rich, 13 in my office we'll be drafting these documents 14 but at the end of the day these are Planning 15 Board approvals. However you're going to vote 16 on the motion to approve or disapprove, each 17 Planning Board Member needs to be familiar with 18 what the document is, what the content is and 19 what they are approving. Again, Rich may be 20 able to highlight more important areas than 21 others, but at the end of the day each Member 22 is going to have to familiar with the overall 23 content and comfortable with it. If you're not 24 comfortable with it, if there something you 25

1	want changed or something of question about,
2	you would let us know and we would address
3	that.
4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: So, we're
5	talking Friday morning or Thursday afternoon?
6	MR. LABERGE: Believe me, I would like to
7	have it done as soon as possible so I don't
8	know. I think we can get drafts in place based
9	on all the information that we have in hand and
10	we can put drafts together. Often times what I
11	typically do when I'm drafting stuff is tonight
12	there was a lot of information that is still
13	forthcoming for the Board - the actual
14	documents or such. I tend to make a list of
15	everything that we have to have in hand as I'm
16	drafting that type of document and then we have
17	to wait for the submittals.
18	To answer your question, Friday would
19	be the deadline. It's the absolute deadline
20	for you guys. If you don't have it on Friday
21	how can you spend your weekend looking at
22	Planning Board documents?
23	MR. AUBIN: Is there a compromise here?
24	We've got three Resolutions that we're
25	basically going to be weighing-in on; one being

1	the SEQRA determination which is a fairly
2	weighty part of this process. I would suggest
3	that maybe we take on that part of the process
4	at the next meeting and maybe hold off on full
5	approval until the August meeting.
6	MR. LANGLOIS: The only issue with that is
7	that if there's a document production which is
8	going to take up the most amount of time is
9	probably your SEQRA determination. It's the
10	longest of the three things you will be doing.
11	So again, we can aim and target Monday but it's
12	still 80% of the job - is what I'm saying.
13	MR. AUBIN: Understood.
14	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: It's not just the
15	Resolutions that we have to address and review,
16	but it's also the myriad of comments that are
17	still out there that are un-responded to yet.
18	Rich has a letter of 50-some comments and we've
19	got a series of significant comments tonight.
20	Anyone who is willing to work as diligently as
21	they want to - I guess we can play it by ear. I
22	guess I'm a little skeptical that it's going to
23	come together by Monday, if I may be so bold.
24	MR. AUBIN: I'm in agreement with that.
25	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: You are free to attempt

1	it. We can make our decision and play by ear.
2	I'm not looking at it from a Resolution
3	standpoint. Obviously, they are very important
4	and they are the legal part of getting this
5	approval, but there's still a lot of
6	engineering-type comments that have not been
7	resolved yet that are on their way to being
8	resolved and I'm sure they will be resolved,
9	but I'm just not sure we can have it all
10	together in time to really look and review it
11	and be satisfied.
12	MR. LABERGE: To Jim's point, we also have
13	to do kind of a final or second final review
14	letter and I expect there would be some
15	outstanding items that would become conditions
16	of approval. Our letter of July 7th - many of
17	those comments are SWPPP related or about half
18	of them and they are highly technical. I have
19	confidence they will be resolved.
20	The other comment I think we touched on
21	here tonight at least a handful of them -
22	still some of my other comments are recitals
23	so we don't forget that we need a site plan
24	we need a water quality control it is
25	still subject to SEQRA. Again, that is on

1	the applicant to do. I think playing it by
2	ear is good and I think what Chris and I
3	said - I don't speak for you, but we would
4	work I did allocate some time this week
5	and we can work and try to get it done and
6	if it pulls together, it does and if you
7	don't have it by Friday, we go from there:
8	MR. BOISVERT: The applicant will work
9	diligently. We actually met with Rich's team
٥.	today on this comments.
.1	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: We will certainly review
L2	anything that is put in front of us. I just did
L3	not want to over-commit ourselves to something
L 4	that may not work out - as long as you guys are
L5	aware of that.
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Are there any
17	other steps that we have to take tonight?
18	MS. FUDA: No.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Nadine says
20	no she wants to prepare for her 7:00 meeting.
21	I hope we have done something to
22	progress the project, but I'm not going to
23	guarantee we're going to be ready for next
24	week. It's up to you. We still have work to
^ F	do and after we get what you are preparing.

1	we will see what happens and we will look at
2	it all next week on Monday.
3	MR. BOISVERT: That sounds good and we
4	thank you again very much for coming out on a
5	special night.
6	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: Okay, thank
7	you.
8	We need a motion to adjourn.
9	MR. AUBIN: So moved.
10	MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Second.
11	ACTING CHAIRPERSON JOHNSON: All in favor?
12	(Ayes were recited.)
13	Opposed?
14	(There were none opposed.)
15	
16	(Whereas the above entitled proceeding
17	was concluded at 6:24 PM)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter
4	and Notary Public in and for the State of
5	New York, hereby CERTIFIES that the record
6	taken by me at the time and place noted in
7	the heading hereof is a true and accurate
8	transcript of same, to the best of my
9	ability and belief
10	
11	Date: 7/16/21
12	
13	
14	Nancy L. Strang
15	Legal Transcription
16	2420 Troy Schenectady Road
17	Niskayuna, NY 12309
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	